
Election fraud in 2020 now acknowledged?

cnav.news/2023/12/13/editorial/talk/election-fraud-2020-acknowledged/

By Terry A. Hurlbut

December 13, 2023



The past thirty-six hours have seen some astonishing developments in accepted attitudes toward the Election of 2020. Since that election, most voters who voted for Donald J. Trump have felt that fraud decided that election – and that various officials, including many of Trump's own appointees, accepted it as the price of getting rid of him. They even put large numbers of people in prison, without a trial (or after a sham trial), to atone for what more and more people now acknowledge was a <u>false-flag pseudo-operation</u>. Now evidence for widespread election fraud is rapidly becoming undeniable. Four separate revelations – or confessions – all "broke" yesterday (December 12). How this will affect the various prosecutions of Trump (other than the New York real-estate case) is anyone's guess.

Election fraud revelation 1: West Virginia

The Gateway Pundit reported all four of the revelations *CNAV* will now share. The <u>first</u> came at 7:30 a.m. EST, from Jim Hoft. West Virginia Secretary of State Mac Warner (Republican), contending for his State's governorship, shocked everyone at a recent gubernatorial debate. He said:

The election was stolen, and it was stolen by the CIA.

Many conservatives, including *CNAV* contributors, have long suspected the Central Intelligence Agency of running a shadow government. Or if they're not doing it themselves, then they are part of a cabal of intelligence agencies and their career officers who are running it. Bradlee Dean <u>has alleged repeatedly</u> that <u>Operation Mockingbird</u>, a CIA operation involving control of the press during the Cold War, <u>is still running</u>. Seven years ago, Dean <u>accused</u> the CIA of engineering the assassination of John F. Kennedy. They did this after Kennedy vowed,

I will splinter the CIA into a thousand pieces and scatter it into the wind!

Nearly one year ago, as the <u>Twitter Files</u> came out, someone <u>shared a thread</u> saying Facebook hired its own share of intelligence types, or "spooks." Out of 115 "spook" hires, 17 came from the CIA.

But Mac Warner was talking about more recent evidence: the testimony of Mike Morrell, former Deputy Director of Central Intelligence. Rep. Jim Jordan (R-Ohio) <u>haled him</u> before his Judiciary Committee. Morrell revealed a conversation with Anthony Blinken (now U.S. SecState) about a statement by 51 former "spooks" that the <u>Hunter Biden Laptop</u> was part of a Russian disinformation campaign. That statement was a lie, and Blinken and Morrell knew it.

Advertisement

When Mike Morell testified under oath to Jim Jordan that, yes, he colluded with Antony Blinken to sell a lie to the American people two weeks before the election for the very purpose of throwing the presidential election. How does it not get stolen if the FBI covers it up and Mark Zuckerberg pays \$400 million to put his thumb on the scale? That's not fair.

This is a psy-op – and Warner knows all about psy-ops. But next...!

Revelation 2: the Suitcase Scandal

At 8:00 a.m. EST Jim Hoft <u>dropped his second</u> bombshell. Actually this was a continuation of a story he first <u>shared</u> the day before. This story concerns the infamous Atlanta Suitcase Scandal. Hoft embedded part of this video from One America News Network, naming two Officers of Election who took part:

The two OOEs that OANN named were Wandrea Shae Moss, Chief OOE, and her mother, OOE Ruby Freeman. (Two other OOEs, Yolanda Sims and Keisha Dixson, were also involved, as was a higher chief, Ralph Jones.) This segment describes them pulling "briefcases" (called "suitcases" in OOE lingo) from beneath black tablecloth-draped tables, extracting ballots from them, and scanning them – more than once. That's flatly illegal, at

least in Georgia. (Your correspondent is a three-year veteran OOE in south central Virginia. His now-retired registrar told him flatly that such a procedure was disgraceful. No one does that in our jurisdiction.)

The Epoch Times shared more footage at the time.

WATCH: Footage of State Farm Arena in <u>#Atlanta</u> shows that after poll monitors and media were told counting was done, four workers stayed behind to count <u>#ballots</u>, at times pulling out suitcases containing ballots from underneath desks.

Watch full video: <u>https://t.co/EHnM5HZFWj pic.twitter.com/xuT8Svgxbr</u>— The Epoch Times (@EpochTimes) <u>December 3, 2020</u>

So did YouTube, but they took it down – but not before The Wayback Machine <u>captured it</u>. This Suitcase Scandal last received attention <u>three months ago</u>.

Advertisement

"Shae" Moss and Ruby Freeman both sued Attorney (and former NYC Mayor) Rudy Giuliani, and Jim and Joe Hoft, after they reported what they all saw on the surveillance footage. Freeman and Moss alleged that the reports caused others to threaten them in an unspecified manner. Giuliani will shortly <u>stand trial</u> for defamation – in Washington, D.C.

The FBI releases a report

In June 2023, the FBI released a report of an investigation of the events of that night.

This report *does not mention Rudy Giuliani's name at all.* It does say where those ballots came from, if one can believe the report. In the middle of a process of opening and processing absentee ballots, someone called out an order to stop counting. The staff ordered all media representatives, and accredited poll watchers, to leave the building at 10:30 p.m. So the OOEs boxed the ballots still waiting to process, sealed them, and stacked them in a last-in first-out order under the tables. The only reason the poll watchers left, was that the OOEs were imperiously ordering them to get out – "now." Human beings sometimes tend to obey such peremptory orders, especially if those giving them scream them out, on the theory that they somehow missed something during training.

But then Ralph Jones received an order to *resume the count*. The Chiefs called back several OOEs *but did not summon the poll watchers*. Four employees, including Freeman and Moss, stayed behind, pulled out the stacked boxes, and started scanning.

Fake boasts of election fraud – or were they really fake?

In the ensuing weeks, someone – the FBI blotted out his name – *created a phony Instagram account* in Ruby Freeman's name. Someone else – the FBI never tried to establish who – wrote false posts in Freeman's name, admitting election fraud. *Those* are the reason Ruby Freeman received hundreds of emails and even unsolicited pizza deliveries – two of the kinds of harassment to which people subjected her.

Advertisement Now either:

- 1. That man with the blotted-out name was an FBI agent provocateur, or:
- 2. Ruby Freeman herself made the boasts, is lying about them, and the FBI is backing her in her lie.

Either way, none of what has happened to Ruby Freeman or Shae Moss is Rudy Giuliani's fault.

The Gateway Pundit knew about the Instagram posts (since deleted) but found them two over-the-top to be believable. But the worst part of the report is what it omits. It says nothing about the OOEs shoving ballots through the scanner more than once.

The Drop and Roll Hypothesis

Hoft's second report is almost a repeat of his first, except for some red highlighting, and his conclusion that he and his team have caught the FBI (and the Georgia Bureau of Investigation, and the Georgia Secretary of State's office) in a cover-up. But Hoft does provide a link to this three-year-old video detailing allegations of a "drop and roll" method of gimmicking the counts. The "drop" refers to a dump of tens of thousands of ballots in key precincts in key States. Then the "roll" refers to adjustments of the count in other precincts to create an illusion of consistency.

The Drop and Roll hypothesis relies on ratios of Trump to Biden voters that, frankly, violate the Law of Averages. Simply put, the Election of 2020 violated every rule of statistical analysis, and broke every previously observed pattern. The Law of Averages is normally an iron law. Break it, and the croupier knows that you have cheated at the baccarat table.

Once is happenstance, twice is coincidence, and the third time it's enemy action. *Ian Fleming*

Revelation 3: Settlement of a lawsuit about alternative electors

Hoft released his third <u>bombshell</u> at 8:30 a.m. EST yesterday – actually a reprint of <u>this</u> <u>article</u> in *Wisconsin Right Now*.This concerns a lawsuit by Democratic officials in Wisconsin against members of a slate of alternative Republican electors. These alternative electors went through the motions of creating a paper record of voting for the Trump-Pence ticket. They did this for one reason only: to have a record they could submit to Congress if Donald Trump prevailed either in Congress or in court to disallow the certified results.

Advertisement

The Democratic Party accused the group of trying to overturn a legitimate election by fraud. Now they have *settled* their lawsuit. The only concession they wrung from the group is that none of its members will accept nomination as Electors in:

- The Election of 2024, or
- Any future election in which Donald J. Trump, for any reason, appears on a ticket.

They also agreed to cooperate with any Justice Department investigation. But they do *not* have to pay any remuneration to the plaintiffs, nor admit to any malicious mischief.

But the *Milwaukee Journal-Sentinel* and Channel 3000 tried to suggest the alternative electors *had* admitting wrongdoing. They changed their headlines when *WRN* confronted them with their error – or their lie.

Revelation 4: mail-in ballot recipients admit to election fraud

At 7:00 p.m. EST last night, *Gateway Pundit* reporter Brian Lupo <u>broke</u> the last story. This concerns a <u>poll</u> by The Heartland Institute and Rasmussen Reports, of persons who voted by mail. <u>Forty-three percent</u> of voters in 2020 voted by mail, per The Associated Press. And *CNAV* has done a <u>Chi-Square assessment</u> to show that mail-in balloting skews Democratic.

Now we know why mail-in ballots skew Democrat. Of 1,085 voters surveyed in 2020, thirty percent voted absentee. Of those 310 (give or take one) voters:

- 17 percent admitted to voting where they once lived, but don't live anymore.
- 17 percent admitted forging a friend or family member's signature on a ballot or ballot envelope. This applied "with or without his or her permission."
- 8 percent admitted that someone offered to pay them to vote or otherwise reward them for voting.

And – perhaps of the entire sample – 10 percent knew someone who admitted filling out a ballot for someone else. That last is not necessarily fraudulent, *if the other person is in the room while the filling-out is happening.* If not, that *is* fraud by anyone's definition.

Donald Trump had plenty to say about those findings:

Advertisement

Rasmussen Reports noted something else: machines for processing so many mail-order ballots required pre-ordering – at a cost of millions of dollars and with considerable lag time. This indicates knowledge of the need for the machines in advance of the COVID-19 pandemic. That in turn suggests that the pandemic itself was part of the plan.

Lab 'Leak.'

How did they know?

Millions of dollars were required to pre-order machines for a process – the mass mailing of unsolicited election ballots to every registered voter – that was then ILLEGAL in America.

Somebody knew early about the 2020 election changes. Who?— Rasmussen Reports (@Rasmussen_Poll) December 10, 2023

Analysis

All the above go to show that outright election fraud played the largest role in deciding the Election of 2020. But manipulation of public opinion – another kind of fraud, but less actionable – also played a role.

In any case, the American people can lay the blame, not only with judges who refused to hear Trump's evidence on specious technical grounds, but *also* on certain of his appointees who flat-out betrayed him. And not only him but everything that Republicanism stands for. Then again, that was a lesson Trump had to learn, and hopefully *has* learned. Which is: the Republican establishment had made its own Quisling-like peace with the Democrats long ago. Trump called on them to fight for Republican principles, and these were as foreign to them as to the Democrats. Nevertheless, all those officials owe the American people an apology. They could have gathered the evidence for the very things that, today, so many voters now confess. They didn't. And as Trump said, they let the Democrats steal our country.

Looking forward

Public opinion will be considerably more difficult to manipulate. The disastrous results of Joe Biden's policies should make enough voters simply *not care* about any accusations against Trump. (And maybe not against Biden and his family, either.)

The main difficulty that outright election fraud will have in 2024 is the apparent loss of "baseline." Anyone trying to win by fraud must have a baseline of support, not quite enough to win by itself, but certainly enough to support swaying the election by manipulating a relative handful of votes. That will not be possible this time, without being blatantly obvious – which election fraud practitioners never care to be.

Nevertheless, a future "fix" for elections is *required* to prevent even the appearance of election fraud. For that, we can take inspiration from the <u>French</u>, who:

Advertisement

- 1. Count all ballots by hand on paper, with *no* scanner-tabulators. This also requires ballots that are easy to mark. And:
- 2. Allow people to register in advance at their local police precinct or courthouse to vote on behalf of another. And furthermore:
- 3. *Disallow* mail-in balloting and same-day registration.

This kind of system would make impossible all the kinds of fraud anyone has shown or suspected. Conscientious OOEs, and their chiefs, area supervisors, and registrars, should embrace them.