

Epstein List released

 cnav.news/2024/01/04/news/epstein-list-released/

By Terry A. Hurlbut

January 5, 2024



Yesterday a federal judge in New York unsealed many court documents in a case against an associate of Jeffrey Epstein. That associate, Ghislaine Maxwell, is in prison herself, but the case has gone on for eight years. Jeffrey Epstein, of course, is notorious for sexually abusing many women, most of them underage, and trafficking these women to high-profile male clients that read like a Who's Who in politics, geopolitics, and the law. (And to this day no one believes that Jeffrey Epstein killed himself in his prison cell.) The release of that list promises to change many political – and geopolitical – games.

Previous history of Jeffrey Epstein

Jeffrey Epstein was in and out of court for the last eighteen years of his life, beginning in 2005. In that year the mother of a minor girl made the first of many complaints against him. The details of these complaints are not important. What *is* important is that Epstein received special treatment that made his “confinement” strictly *pro forma*.

But beginning in 2008, many, many women filed lawsuits against Epstein, and against Ghislaine Maxwell. That includes the case at issue today: *Giuffre v. Maxwell*, 1:15-cv-07433, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York; The Hon. Loretta A. Preska presiding. CourtListener has this [docket page](#) on that case. (The reason for the near-impossibility of visiting CourtListener's home page at this time will become apparent later.) Virginia Giuffre, then known as Virginia Roberts, was one of Jeffrey Epstein's victims, according to her twelve-page [complaint](#).

Giuffre sued in the federal courts to protect her rights, which authorities ignored as part of Epstein's special treatment. That treatment included a Non-Prosecution Agreement that meant she would not have her own day in court. Giuffre sued Epstein initially – and then

sued Maxwell after the latter undertook to “fix” Giuffre with a campaign of lies.

The entire case proceeded under seal, it seems, and in 2017 Giuffre reached a settlement, also under seal. But the matter didn’t end there.

Advertisement

Motion to intervene and unseal

Michael Cernovich, independent investigator and journalist, moved in January 2017 to intervene in the case, and unseal its files.

Cernovich explained his involvement in a long-form post on X. (Jim Hoft at *The Gateway Pundit* alerted his readers to the post.)

The Epstein Files. Today a tranche of documents were released in a case involving Jeffrey Epstein. There’s no revelations. Jeffrey Epstein’s case was covered up. I can explain why.

In 2017, my lawyer Marc Randazza found a wonky freedom of the press case. There was a defamation... pic.twitter.com/dSW0h6ZNgD— Cernovich (@Cernovich) January 4, 2024

Following that post, Cernovich left this post embedding part of an interview he did with Candace Owens.

Candace Owens and I did a deep dive on the background of the Epstein files. Not sure where full interview is. Here’s a clip.

pic.twitter.com/qUPpxbgo7d— Cernovich (@Cernovich) January 4, 2024

Note that Cernovich charges that Jeffrey Epstein was an FBI asset. Cernovich also asked, after Epstein’s 2019 arrest, several pointed questions about failure to prosecute Epstein under the Mann Act. Whether Cernovich had read the complaint and knew about the Non-Prosecution Agreement, is not clear.

On August 10, 2019, of course, authorities “found” Jeffrey Epstein dead in his cell. Suspicion has centered largely on former President Bill Clinton, because:

Advertisement

- Many people knew or suspected Bill Clinton of visiting one of Epstein’s two private islands as far back as 2016. Furthermore he made those visits without his Secret Service detail.
- “The Clinton Body Count” is proverbial.

Former CNAV contributor Ariel Natan Pasko dropped this dark hint about anti-Semites citing Jeffrey Epstein as typical of Jews. This, of course, was twelve days after Epstein's arrest. The next day, Darrell L. Castle commented on the implications of the Epstein case for American legal and judicial corruption.

The day after Jeffrey Epstein died, Linda Goudsmit became one of the first to suggest that he *did not* kill himself. Two weeks later, Darrell Castle was sure of it.

From 2019 to the present

As Ghislaine Maxwell now prepared to stand criminal trial, rumors began spreading about just who, in addition to the Clintons, maintained an association with Jeffrey Epstein. Media attention focused on Bill Clinton, but also centered on HRH Prince Andrew, Duke of York. (Ghislaine Maxwell later would say she felt sorry for the Duke.) Melinda Gates accused Epstein of helping break up her marriage to Bill Gates. His private islands went up for sale for \$125 million.

A jury ultimately convicted Maxwell on five of six criminal counts. The court sentenced her to 20 years – after the prosecution asked for 30 years. Authorities also placed her on suicide watch. A year later, her former lawyers sued her to recover \$878,000 in unpaid legal fees.

But the taint of Jeffrey Epstein seems spread far and wide. Magistrate Judge Bruce Reinhart, one of three judges involved in the Mar-A-Lago Raid, once represented one of Epstein's "Lolita Express" pilots. A former Epstein business associate, Steven Hoffenberg, turned up dead in his home in August of 2022. Former victims have sued Deutsche Bank and J. P. Morgan Chase for having profited from Epstein's trafficking activities. Jeffrey Epstein also had apparent connections to Les Wexner, founder of Victoria's Secret. Wexner's foundation recently severed ties with the Harvard Kennedy School over unpunished harassment of Jewish students at Harvard.

Alan Dershowitz apparently represented Epstein. Virginia Giuffre initially accused him of abusing her – but dropped that charge fourteen months ago.

Advertisement

Release of the Jeffrey Epstein list

That last is important today because Alan Dershowitz appears on flight manifests on flights to and from one of Epstein's private islands. Today Dershowitz repeats his denial of any involvement with Epstein's nefarious activities.

Judge Preska issued an order on December 18, 2023, setting forth her intention to unseal documents in the Giuffre case.

Upon the release of that order, the new lawyer for a “Doe 107” sent a letter to the judge asking for more time to keep his client’s name out of public mention.

The judge granted the extension of time, leading to widespread speculation that she had canceled the unsealing. The grant read as follows:

Doe 107’s request for a 30-day extension is approved. Doe 107 shall, by January 22, 2024, submit to the Court for in camera review an affidavit (1) supporting her assertion that she faces a risk of physical harm in her country of residence and (2) providing detail concerning the hate mail she has received. Doe 107’s counsel may also provide by this date any additional factual support for Doe 107’s contention that unsealing the relevant records would put her at risk of physical harm.

But the judge *did not* hold up the release of documents unrelated to Doe 107 – or Does 105 and 110. (Doe 110 also asked for special consideration.) Yesterday she issued this order. (See also this article from Jim Hoft at *The Gateway Pundit*.)

Advertisement

List of links

Pursuant to that order, she released forty documents, as Attachments to Docket Entry 1320. (See also this article by Christina Laila at *The Gateway Pundit*.) Almost immediately thereafter, so many people sought downloads of those documents, that CourtListener’s servers crashed. Even today, CourtListener’s home page *will not load*, not for any browser. But any *other* page *will* load.

Herewith a list of forty-one direct links to the released documents. (The first link is to a cover letter explaining the “rolling release” process.) *The Gateway Pundit* managed to download thirty-seven of them before their connection became hopeless.

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.0_1.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.1_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.2_3.pdf](#)

Advertisement

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.3_3.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.4_3.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.5_1.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.6_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.7_2.pdf](#)

Advertisement

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.8_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.9_1.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.10_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.11_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.12_3.pdf](#)

Advertisement

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.13_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.14_4.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.15_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.16_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.17_3.pdf](#)

Advertisement

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.18_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.19_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.20_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.21_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.22_2.pdf](#)

Advertisement

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.23_3.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.24_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.25_3.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.26_3.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.27_3.pdf](#)

Advertisement

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.28_3.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.29_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.30_4.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.31_1.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.32_2.pdf](#)

Advertisement

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.33_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.34_5.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.35_3.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.36_2.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.37_1.pdf](#)

Advertisement

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.38_4.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.39_3.pdf](#)

[Click to access gov.uscourts.nysd.447706.1320.40_2.pdf](#)

Today lawyers for *The Miami Herald* sent *another* [letter](#) to Judge Preska, asking for unsealing of another document. This involves Document 1026, Attachment 3 (Exhibit C).

To anyone asking why CNAV did not mention any of this activity earlier, CNAV offers this disclaimer. CNAV has watched as judges have, in the past, ordered release of information – then canceled it. When the December 18 order came down, CNAV decided we would believe it when we saw it. Now we see it. Notably, CourtListener is still having trouble processing requests for information on its home page and even from some “push-buttons.” CNAV therefore urges caution and patience in trying to read or download these files.

Advertisement

Various insights on the Jeffrey Epstein list

The Gateway Pundit has a plethora of reports on the release of the Jeffrey Epstein list. In chronological order:

- Sarah Kellen, once a personal assistant to Epstein, might have additional evidence she could use to bargain for early release.
- The Duke of York is *definitely involved!* Attachment 26 describes from very un-princely behavior by The Duke.
- Donald J. Trump *is not* involved in nefarious activity. One witness cleared him completely, along with Alan Dershowitz (see above) and George Lucas. (Attachment 12.) Other reports reveal that Donald Trump ended his friendship with Jeffrey Epstein years before his notoriety. He knew what Epstein was – and did *not* like it.

President Trump banned Jeffrey Epstein from Mar-a-Lago in 1999. Trump is always ahead of the curve.

pic.twitter.com/VX4UymTYI2— Charles R Downs (@TheCharlesDowns) January 4, 2024

- At least one attachment reveals a “kissing game” Maxwell invented to condition underage girls to “pleasure” Epstein.
- Bill Clinton was not only involved but had definite pedophile tastes. “He likes them young,” said Epstein, according to one witness.

Testimony from one witness:

Q: "Did Jeffrey ever talk to you about Bill Clinton?"

A: "He said one time that Clinton likes them young, referring to girls."

pic.twitter.com/bAXCWJ44gn— Techno Fog (@Techno_Fog) January 3, 2024

- NBC News sullied its own coverage of the release. They tried to defend Bill Clinton even in the face of the above revelation.
- Thomas Pritzker, of the Pritzkers of Hyatt Hotels fame, was also a client. Jim Hoft captured two posts by Kanekoa the Great on this point:

BREAKING: Billionaire Hyatt Hotels Executive Chairman Thomas Pritzker, identified in Epstein documents, allegedly involved in sexual activities with Epstein victim.

"How many times have you had sex with Tom Pritzker?"

"I believe I was with Tom once."

Thomas is the heir to the... pic.twitter.com/EUbkskzGUg— KanekoaTheGreat (@KanekoaTheGreat) [January 4, 2024](#)

Epstein victim Virginia Guiffre stated that Jeffrey and Ghislaine instructed her to engage in sexual activities with the owner of a major hotel chain while they were in France for Naomi Campbell's birthday party.

"Where did you have sex with the owner of a large hotel chain in...
pic.twitter.com/YvpBDP8spY— KanekoaTheGreat (@KanekoaTheGreat) [January 4, 2024](#)

Jeffrey Epstein would call Leonardo di Caprio, Bruce Willis, and other Hollywood celebrities. See Attachment 12. This may or may not be significant. No witness mentions having met any of those Hollywood types.

Jeffrey Epstein court documents name DiCaprio, Bruce Willis and other A-listers <https://t.co/Pk6whQUwI> pic.twitter.com/aqENCv2J0c— Daily Express (@Daily_Express) [January 4, 2024](#)

Former FBI Director Louis Freeh might have tried to cover for Clinton.

Other revelations

In those documents, Virginia Guiffre revealed that Jeffrey Epstein trafficked her to at least one foreign President.

Epstein was trafficking underage girls to foreign Presidents...

This is how you control them. pic.twitter.com/bpSmS6NBD5— Jon Herold (@patel_patriot) [January 4, 2024](#)

She did not name him, but could only describe him.

In related news, Tucker Carlson, in today's show, suggested that Jeffrey Epstein was murdered – and the Attorney General covered it up. That Attorney General would be none other than William Barr, who betrayed Donald Trump over the Election of 2020. This insight comes from Epstein's brother Mark.

Ep. 59 Most people understand that Jeffrey Epstein didn't kill himself. But the attorney general of the United States helped cover up his murder? That's a different thing entirely. Epstein's brother Mark explains. pic.twitter.com/aP6EjURJmL— Tucker Carlson (@TuckerCarlson) January 4, 2024

Laura Loomer published another link to all 943 pages of documents, on Document Cloud.

Here are the new Epstein documents

943 pages – DocumentCloud

Happy hunting! <https://t.co/V3jT2YUj1A>— Laura Loomer (@LauraLoomer) January 4, 2024

She has also teased out some other questionable associations. Among them: Tony Lyons, of Skyhorse Publishing – and a known associate of Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.

Advertisement



Another name on the Jeffrey Epstein list is Tony Lyons, the President and Publisher at Skyhorse publishing.

Tony Lyons also happens to be the COFOUNDER of [@RobertKennedyJr](https://twitter.com/RobertKennedyJr) RFK JR's Super Pac [@AmValues2024](https://twitter.com/AmValues2024) American Values 2024, which is actively pushing for a contingent... <https://t.co/o8dITw6L8N> pic.twitter.com/z6NvY2FGea— Laura Loomer (@LauraLoomer) January 4, 2024

She mentioned how quiet several Members of Congress, government officials, and foreign dignitaries have been lately.

Have you noticed how quiet members of Congress, foreign politicians and US Government Officials have been since the release of the newly unsealed Epstein files?

For people who never stop talking, they sure don't have much to say...

Really makes you wonder.

Doesn't it?— Laura Loomer (@LauraLoomer) January 4, 2024

Analysis

The documents Judge Preska released thus far do not constitute an entire “client list.” They tell us little that we didn’t know before – except that Donald Trump *was not* involved in nefarious activities. Alan Dershowitz’ involvement seems to be nothing more serious than representing Jeffrey Epstein. Though in 1997 he did plump for lowering the age of consent from 18 to 15. He said so in *The Los Angeles Times*, and people took notice.

“Reasonable people can disagree about whether [the age of consent] should be as low as 14.”

Is @AlanDersh kidding (pun intended)?

Does he really think that?— Richard W. Painter (@RWPUSA) July 29, 2019

In response, Dershowitz dropped this mini-thread:

I stand by the constitutional (not moral) argument I offered in my controversial oped: if a 16 year old has the constitutional right to have an abortion without state or parental interference, how could she not have the constitutional right to engage in consensual sex? 1/ <https://t.co/48Thb8Uaym>— Alan Dershowitz (@AlanDersh) July 29, 2019

I also pointed out that, statutory rape laws are applied quite selectively and often against young teenagers. That’s why I also say there are Romeo and Juliet exceptions. Lets debate not name call. End/— Alan Dershowitz (@AlanDersh) July 29, 2019

Of course, he wrote those posts nearly three years before *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization*. In any event, he distinguished the *constitutional* case from the *moral* case.

This release confirms Bill Clinton’s involvement, and that of the Duke of York. But Tucker Carlson’s interview with Mark Epstein suggests that *someone else* had a motive for murdering Jeffrey Epstein. In fact, if Epstein was a tool of the Deep State, the list of suspects goes beyond Clinton. And now it includes former Attorney General Bill Barr. Did Barr know of plans by Trump for a general crackdown on child sex traffickers and molesters? Would that explain his cooperation with a campaign to defeat Trump at all costs?

Advertisement

In any event, the court has promised many more revelations.