

Nord Stream sabotage redux

 cnav.news/2023/02/09/accountability/executive/nord-stream-sabotage-redux/

By Terry A. Hurlbut

February 9, 2023



Last fall, *CNAV* [reported](#) on the sudden failure of the Nord Stream Pipeline. That pipeline brought natural gas from Russia to Germany. Everyone knew it was sabotage, and at least some accused the United States. Now reporter and historian Seymour Hersh [accuses](#) the United States Navy of the sabotage. Twitter reporter Kanekoa the Great is on the case, with links to Hersh' article and to many other sources.

Nord Stream in review

On or about Monday, September 26, pressures in the Nord Stream Pipeline, under the Baltic Sea, dropped to zero. Then on the evening of September 27, we found out why. Three leaks had sprung in that pipeline, two in the original project (Nord Stream One) and one in the newer project (Nord Stream Two). Swedish and Danish seismologists said flatly that two explosions occurred on the Baltic Sea floor. The explosions happened several hours apart, and a large gas plume formed in the Baltic Sea. The Swedes [ordered all ships](#) to steer clear. Then [Reuters](#) and the [Associated Press](#) carried Swedish and Danish accusations, respectively, of sabotage.

The Washington Post accused the Russians of blowing up their own pipeline. *National Review*'s Jim Geraghty positively [gloated](#) over it. But Tucker Carlson accused the United States.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/KrDY5vJviBQ>

Radek Sikorski, Member of the European Parliament, accused the United States. No, not accused: *thanked* the USA for, in his view, striking at the enemy of the European Union. But now his thread has vanished. His account is still active, which indicates one thing: Radek Sikorski deleted his thread. Whether at the behest of the U.S. State Department or because he thought better of trumpeting that act, who knows? His content clearly shows him to be a war hawk and thoroughly anti-Russian. But perhaps it occurred to him, or someone told him, to hush-up American involvement.

Neither he nor any other anti-Russian partisan can hush it up any longer.

Sy Hersh weighs in

Actually, Matt Taibbi weighed in first, sharing this tweet at 7:15 a.m. yesterday morning.

The great Seymour Hersh returns, on Substack, with a blockbuster story:

How America Took Out The Nord Stream Pipeline <https://t.co/RxfoZOUwDH>

— Matt Taibbi (@mtaibbi) [February 8, 2023](#)

Your editor, as “Declarations of Truth,” made the obvious observation:

I knew that when it happened. Who else had motive, opportunity, and method?

— Declarations of Truth 🇺🇸 (@DecTruth) [February 8, 2023](#)

One user professed surprise that Ukraine and NATO weren't thanking the USA. Another user replied back that they were and are.

See comments above and below. They are.

— Jay G (@JayG860) [February 8, 2023](#)

True, he offered no sources. But let's not forget Radek Sikorski's expressions of thanks – so if NATO wasn't, the European Parliament was.

Another user flatly discounted the Sy Hersh article, because it cited an anonymous source. But that user, pressed for an answer on motive, opportunity and method, has yet to address those points.

All right, just for the aitch-eeh-double-hockeysticks of it, tell me this: who else had motive, opportunity and method to destroy the Nord Stream pipelines?

— Declarations of Truth   (@DecTruth) [February 8, 2023](#)

Still another user observed that Sy Hersh says the Americans worked it out with the Norwegians.

Hersh suggests US and Norway went behind Sweden, Denmark and Germany's back. It's pretty wild if so. He also implicitly suggests that the goal was to blow up all the pipelines, but that failed.

— HaraldKML (@HaraldKml) [February 8, 2023](#)

That would explain why the cries of sabotage came from Sweden and Denmark but *not* Norway.

Then Kanekoa the Great dropped his seventeen-tweet thread. In it he covered more than the Nord Stream pipeline. He reviewed the full history of American involvement in Ukraine, including the Maidan Revolution of 2014. (He did not, however, address the suspicion of biological weapons development laboratories in Ukraine, with American funding, direction, and staff.)

The thread

Herewith the odd numbers:

THREAD  [#Nordstream](#)

"Last June, the Navy divers, operating under the cover of a widely publicized mid-summer NATO exercise known as BALTOPS 22, planted the remotely triggered explosives that..."<https://t.co/3yFcDObPwC> <pic.twitter.com/GlwcXR6Q7a>

— kanekoa.substack.com (@KanekoaTheGreat) [February 8, 2023](#)

3) Victoria Nuland: "If Russia invades Ukraine, one way or another, Nord Stream 2 will not move forward."

Jan. 27, 2022 <pic.twitter.com/nL7S9FADjg>

— kanekoa.substack.com (@KanekoaTheGreat) [February 8, 2023](#)

5) The CIA warned authorities in Berlin three months before the Nordstream pipeline was sabotaged of the possibility that someone would destroy the pipelines.

I wonder how they knew?<https://t.co/BlymgbQ2fG> <pic.twitter.com/4003d614wa>

— kanekoa.substack.com (@KanekoaTheGreat) [February 8, 2023](#)

7) The gas pipelines were sabotaged near Bornholm in the Baltic Sea.

The leaks occurred in areas where the pipelines lie at sufficiently shallow depths for divers to plant explosives. <pic.twitter.com/5U6CC4cTmE>

— kanekoa.substack.com (@KanekoaTheGreat) [February 8, 2023](#)

9) The US Navy posted pictures of themselves planting "mock explosives" in the Baltic Sea where the pipelines would explode three months later.

But don't worry, it was a "training exercise."<https://t.co/R29K0NvoNP>
<pic.twitter.com/Pl6xujdvrU>

— kanekoa.substack.com (@KanekoaTheGreat) [February 8, 2023](#)

11) Prof. Jeffrey Sachs caused chaos on Bloomberg for suggesting the U.S. government was behind the Nord Stream sabotage.

"The destruction of the Nord Stream pipeline which I would bet was a U.S. action..."
<pic.twitter.com/WmMDzdUmSm>

— kanekoa.substack.com (@KanekoaTheGreat) [February 8, 2023](#)

13) [@JoeRogan](#) and [@ComicDaveSmith](#) break down the Obama admin overthrowing Ukraine's government:

"All these Soros-funded NGOs funded the militias on the ground... There's a tape of Victoria Nuland talking about who would be the new government... We overthrew their government." pic.twitter.com/d6hRcjHSUq

— kanekoa.substack.com ([@KanekoaTheGreat](#)) [February 8, 2023](#)

15) After the U.S. overthrew Ukraine's government in 2014, 96% of Luhansk and 89% of Donetsk voted to secede from Ukraine.

The west said the elections were illegitimate.

But the eastern half of Ukraine typically votes for pro-Russia candidates.

Blue = Pro-Russia

Red = Pro-West pic.twitter.com/RF6RhRMZHV

— kanekoa.substack.com ([@KanekoaTheGreat](#)) [February 8, 2023](#)

17) [@Jimmy_Dore](#): "Your enemy is not China, your enemy is not Russia, your enemy is the Military Industrial Complex..."

The bottom line is there will be no world left for our children if this war between nuclear superpowers continues to escalate. pic.twitter.com/qZvCaVb4vs

— kanekoa.substack.com ([@KanekoaTheGreat](#)) [February 8, 2023](#)

Most of the reaction consisted of outrage, and worry about nuclear combat between America and Russia.

Put these criminals behind bars they censored doctors that caused millions of people to die.

— Ptross ([@peat_ross_](#)) [February 8, 2023](#)

Yea, this would technically be an act of war against Germany. But it screwed a lot of people in a lot of countries.

— Thomas Cane ([@thetomcane](#)) [February 8, 2023](#)

Oh Dam! 🤩 going hit the fan!

— L1 🇺🇸 Actual ([@L1Actual](#)) [February 8, 2023](#)

We all know who did this. They can lie like always but we know.

— stephen 1 (@Deadon775) [February 8, 2023](#)

And this is legal how?

— abba's2bits (@abbas2bits) [February 8, 2023](#)

BUYden trying to start WW3

— HereForAReason (@Roi20221) [February 8, 2023](#)

Disgraceful

— GodsProperty (@GodsProperty721) [February 8, 2023](#)

But at least one user expressed skepticism:

lol source: I made it up! pic.twitter.com/KXi2S0pku4

— EIEIO (@PagePagenyc) [February 8, 2023](#)

Besides all the videos Kanekoa shared, let's consider Sy Hersh's own statements on why the current administration disliked that pipeline. Or rather, why the *Deep State* did not like Nord Stream. Hersh observed correctly that the Biden administration did not want Germany to have cheap gas from Russia. But this same administration already planned to cut off cheap gas from the United States. So the real reason for American opposition to Nord Stream was the use of fossil fuels. The Deep State opposed the pipeline, then, because the globe is warming, the globe is warming!

Planning on how to destroy Nord Stream began in December 2021 – two months before the Russian special military operation. Then Biden issued this threat:



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/OS4O8rGRLf8>

Nord Stream did move forward – and for that reason, so did the plan. And how did Norway come into it? By passing a new defense agreement extending American jurisdiction in key areas. And by lending their own naval support, especially under the sea. No wonder the Norwegians said nothing while the Swedes and Danes cried out!

Biden obviously carried out his threat. But Congress never once declared war. What will the new House of Representatives say to this?