

The Tucker Twitter Files?

 cnav.news/2023/04/30/editorial/talk/tucker-twitter-files/

By Terry A. Hurlbut

April 30, 2023



Three days ago, Paul D. Thacker, author of “[The Fauci Pharma Files](#),” released another thread. He called it the *Tucker Twitter Files*. In it he offered a new theory for Tucker Carlson’s abrupt departure from Fox News Channel. Which is: that he ran afoul of the World Health Organization and its allies. This doesn’t necessarily explain his departure, but it does suggest that pressure for it had been building in the Parag Agrawal days.

Latest on Tucker Carlson

Tucker Carlson was preparing his show for Monday (April 24) when word came down that his show would not air. Megyn Kelly dropped a rumor two days ago that Fox News carefully did *not* terminate Carlson.

Former Top Fox News host and insider Megyn Kelly reveals Tucker not actually FIRED from Fox yet— This is what's REALLY happening...

pic.twitter.com/0m8qzOxdsV

— Benny Johnson (@bennyjohnson) [April 27, 2023](#)

They merely locked him out of his show – and kept him under a contract with 18 months to run. Fox wants him to “negotiate” his exit – and would prefer to dribble out a monthly stipend for the rest of the contract term, thus sidelining Tucker Carlson until after the Election of 2024. Tucker has “lawyered up” and has said nothing since his [Wednesday night video](#).

Good evening pic.twitter.com/SPrsYKWKCE

— Tucker Carlson (@TuckerCarlson) [April 27, 2023](#)

But this is at total variance with the tale from other insiders that Fox News intended to cut Tucker off without another red penny. CNAV has no reason to suppose Megyn Kelly is lying. But whether she actually knows the truth is another matter.

Now Paul Thacker comes forward with yet another theory of the kinds of things with which Tucker was dealing.

The thread

Herewith the Tucker Twitter Files thread:

1. Why did Twitter censor Tucker Carlson? Better yet, who helped Twitter do that?
[#TuckerTwitterFiles pic.twitter.com/b5WGiNZA4V](https://twitter.com/b5WGiNZA4V)

— Paul D. Thacker (@thackerpd) [April 27, 2023](#)

3. A Twitter employee emailed colleagues in June 2021 to inquire if a Tucker Carlson op-ed for [@FoxNewsOpinion](#) should be flagged for COVID-19 "misinformation."
[pic.twitter.com/2mBIBSA5bJ](https://twitter.com/2mBIBSA5bJ)

— Paul D. Thacker (@thackerpd) [April 27, 2023](#)

5. When I looked back at the WHO website, I found they stealth edited their page to remove this passage stating they did not recommend kids get vaccinated.
[pic.twitter.com/hyf7X0gcRc](https://twitter.com/hyf7X0gcRc)

— Paul D. Thacker (@thackerpd) [April 27, 2023](#)

7. Twitter officials also discussed looping in top Twitter execs, such as the general counsel, due to the "political risks" associated with such actions.

Yoel Roth agreed with this approach to "escalate." [pic.twitter.com/wlbE32k3xo](https://twitter.com/wlbE32k3xo)

— Paul D. Thacker (@thackerpd) [April 27, 2023](#)

9. Tucker Carlson would have never known this happened, but when Twitter held a meet and greet months, later, they wrote of Tucker's producer, "[I]t was pretty apparent from the get-go we understood the very different goals we have at work."
[pic.twitter.com/Uop2I8T66G](https://twitter.com/Uop2I8T66G)

— Paul D. Thacker (@thackerpd) [April 27, 2023](#)

11. Much thanks to [@TexasLindsay_](#) who helped collect and organise [#TwitterFiles](#). I love San Francisco, but we read and read, until our eyes bled.

More [#TwitterFiles](#) to come! pic.twitter.com/KaeGBIDDcu

— Paul D. Thacker ([@thackerpd](#)) [April 27, 2023](#)

12. Read more on Twitter's censoring of Tucker Carlson at [@DisInfoChron](#): "WHO Censored Itself on Vaccines to Help Twitter Censor Tucker Carlson"

<https://t.co/YMhhEa2Pba> Bird factory secretly clipped Tucker's wings

pic.twitter.com/cebTyjfRg9

— Paul D. Thacker ([@thackerpd](#)) [April 27, 2023](#)

Reaction varies from positive to slightly skeptical. A German-language user left this message:

Wie Twitter einen Artikel von Tucker Carlson zensierte, in dem dieser sagte, dass man zensiert werden kann, wenn man offizielle Info von der WHO verbreitet, wonach Kinder nicht Covid-geimpft werden sollten, eine Empfehlung, die die WHO einfach stillschweigend entfernte.

— Norbert Häring ([@norberthaering](#)) [April 28, 2023](#)

Translation (from Google Translate, through Twitter itself):

How Twitter censored an article by Tucker Carlson saying that spreading official info from the WHO that children should not be vaccinated against Covid can be censored, a recommendation that the WHO simply quietly removed.

This message reminds us of the "malinformation" definition that recalls our [piece](#) on State actors:

They created a new category for that. Malinformation. Any true information that is inconvenient, or harmful, to the political agenda of those in power.

— Dun Politicing 🗳️ [#VoteAnyoneButLibLabConGreenSNP](#) ([@DunPoliticing](#)) [April 28, 2023](#)

At first this looks like a minor episode in the saga of Tucker Carlson. Today he must somehow get out of an eighteen-month contract that pays him to stay quiet. Or rather: it *forces* him to stay quiet, and pays him to keep that legal. (That is, if Megyn Kelly's information, and testimony, are both accurate.)

But could Rupert Murdoch have had another motive, in addition to making sure Donald Trump loses in 2024? Could he be hobnobbing with the World Health Organization? He has already tainted himself with ideological corruption with the Democratic Party. Why not with WHO, as they prepare a treaty to make themselves a one-world government?

At present no further information is available, either on the planned vote on the WHO Treaty, or the disposition of dangerous laboratory samples possibly in rebel hands in Sudan. But perhaps Rupert Murdoch wants to stop Tucker Carlson from investigating both of these at this critical juncture. In which case Tucker needs to break that contract *now*, and *start* investigating.