

Tucker Carlson hints at plans

 cnav.news/2023/04/28/editorial/talk/tucker-carlson-hints-plans/

By Terry A. Hurlbut

April 28, 2023



Two nights ago, Tucker Carlson, who no longer has a job at Fox News, dropped a two-minute video on Twitter. In it he shared what he found wrong with the Mainstream Media today. To be specific, he discussed, not any principals or personalities, but areas where Mainstream Media coverage is *lacking*. Like a consummate entrepreneur, Tucker Carlson just identified an unfilled niche he intends to fill. And that *niche* consists of subjects on which he has something to say, but his bosses wouldn't let him. But by firing him, they freed him – a fact the might live to regret.

Tucker Carlson speaks

Tucker Carlson dropped this tweet with a two-minute video on his own account:

Good evening pic.twitter.com/SPrsYKWKCE

— Tucker Carlson (@TuckerCarlson) [April 27, 2023](#)

He dropped that at 8:01 p.m. EDT. Of several alternative media who covered it, *The Daily Signal* provided the most faithful [transcript](#), under his by-line. This is copyright © 2023 to the [Creators Syndicate](#). Therefore CNAV will give paraphrases and excerpts only, as appropriate and relevant.

Carlson spoke first of good-hearted people “who really care about what’s true.” Then he rounded on his former profession – not his specific former bosses, but what they represent. He said most of the debates on television today are irrelevant and un-memorable. Which is also why he did not name any of their subjects.

After that, he named the things people *really* want to hear about – because people like his former bosses won't allow them. They are, in this order, “war, civil liberties, emerging science, demographic change, corporate power, natural resources.” And why won't anyone talk about them? Because:

Both political parties and their donors have reached consensus on what benefits them, and they actively collude to shut down any conversation about it.

He's probably correct. Greg Gutfeld found out just how little anyone at Fox wants to discuss Tucker Carlson. In a segment of *The Five*, he suggested the Election of 2024 might pit Ambassador Susan Rice – against Tucker Carlson. From the [description](#) by Jack Davis at *The Western Journal*, one could have heard a pin drop. Judge Jeannine Pirro then changed the subject. One can view the full episode [here](#).

Looking ahead

In fact, Tucker Carlson called America a one-party State. We've heard that refrain from many others – how the two Party establishments are indistinguishable. This also applies to any “conservative” outlet that still has the Mainstream Media taint on it. Recall the real reason Rupert Murdoch finally got rid of Carson. Murdoch had wanted a President he could control. He supported three Republicans in 2016, and none of them won. Instead, Trump won, and Murdoch did a slow burn for four years – because Trump absolutely refused to let Rupert Murdoch or anyone else tell him what to do.

So in 2020, Murdoch ordered his people to skew the election coverage to destroy Trump's momentum. So much effort did Murdoch expend, that one could make a good case saying that he *alone*, with *no* synergy (coincidental or otherwise) with Dominion Voting Services or the People's Liberation Army, made sure Trump would lose. Calling Virginia and Arizona for Biden within seconds of polls closing, delaying any reporting *whatsoever* from Georgia or the other disputed States – things like that.

Tucker Carlson didn't discuss any of that – he wasn't ready. In fact Tucker Carlson made sure *never* to criticize anyone by name. Speculation is rife as to whether he still has a contract, and is still drawing a salary, or not. If he is, the contract would require that he not bad-mouth the front office until it expired. Which, per the speculation, will not happen for another eighteen months.

Whom did he criticize?

Instead, Tucker Carlson criticized a larger establishment, regardless of who plays what role in it. This includes the establishments of *both* parties. The Democratic establishment would seem to be extreme leftist, but Senator Bernie Sanders (I-Vt.) runs even to *their* left. The Republican establishment doesn't stand for anything today, except a patronage system. Both

parties charge a legislator Caucus Dues amounting to said legislator's annual income. Who makes up for that bite, and therefore pays the living of a legislator? Lobbyists, of course. (Virginia Senator Amanda F. Chase, R-Chesterfield, has confirmed to CNAV that the Caucus Dues can't bite so hard when the legislature is a part-time gig. In case anyone has forgotten, she will not "pay to play.")

These are the sorts of things CNAV hopes to hear Tucker Carlson talk about when he makes his plans. Thus far all he has said is, "See you soon," as the late Jack Benny used to say. He also said the establishment has "given up persuasion" and is "resorting to force." And he refuses to let that intimidate him.

Steve Crowder believes Tucker will found his own outlet, as Crowder himself has done. (And as Megyn Kelly did, and James O'Keefe is now doing.)

How people are reacting to Tucker Carlson

In forty-seven hours and twenty minutes, the video drew sixty-six *million* views. Beyond that, the tweet itself shows 825,200 likes, 27,900 bookmarks, 181,000 retweets, and 23,100 "quote tweets."

Those analytics compare more than favorably with his performance at Fox – and with the performance of those trying to carry on in his slot. On Monday, while Fox stayed ahead in the ratings, its ratings still fell in absolute terms. Brian Kilmeade got 2.6 million viewers – 21 percent fewer than Carlson's *average* performance of 3.3 million viewers.

One snide user returned to the refrain that Fox' board fired Carlson after seeing his "highly controversial" texts. But other users ignored him.

This tweet, urging Tucker to take his brand international, got many more views and likes:

This is your moment to take your brand international. Spain needs a Tucker. Italy needs a Tucker. UK needs a Tucker. Set up a global network of truth tellers who can bring down the NWO.

— Ada Lluch 🇪🇸 (@ada_lluch) April 27, 2023

Megyn Kelly called Fox' firing of Carlson a "terrible move." On the article quoting her, someone left this tweet showing Fox' stock performance:

Appears Fox stock is crashing after announcing Tucker Carlson's show is over:
pic.twitter.com/4V1M3ww7r6

— Henry Rodgers (@henryrodgersdc) April 24, 2023

WorldNetDaily had a few excerpts, and these reaction tweets:

pic.twitter.com/pwfy1e17sc

— Paul Hookem 🇺🇸 (@PaulHook_em) [April 27, 2023](#)

pic.twitter.com/QzLty3ajOl

— Jessica Ledezma  (@JessicaLedezmaM) [April 27, 2023](#)

One other user took this note:

One in the same. 😞 pic.twitter.com/iJGvo2N69c

— MR. 乂 尺 尸 (@Mister_XRP) [April 27, 2023](#)

In short, many look forward to seeing Tucker Carlson on a regular venue of his own. Those same people have decamped from Fox, which now has very little conservative on-air talent left to it.

Yet at the same time, CNAV would like to see Tucker Carlson cover a few things he did *not* mention. Like [WHO Pandemic Treaties](#), Congressional and legislative Caucus Dues, and whether certain [State governors](#) are serious about stopping on-line censorship and grooming of children.