As I follow the various reports on the Martin/Zimmerman case the race-mongering and smear merchants are in overdrive. When people, rightly I might add, looked at Mr Martin's background because of his parents presenting him as a do-gooder child, we discovered he was not the innocent child they presented him as. They presented an old picture of a 15 year and not a current picture of a 17 year old.

Since reports indicate he liked to get high on drugs and was suspended for drugs residue possession I wonder what he really looked like. Was he a teenage drug dealer? But lets drop the race-mongering, character assassination or even the questioning of each man's background and consider the facts that have been reported or otherwise presented in the various media. Keep in mind much of this is recorded on the 911 call system and people have reviewed the recordings and transcripts. Here are some key items I have noticed that people conveniently keep omitting from the discussion.

1) We know from reports that a number of homes had been burglarized in the area recently.

2) We know that Mr Zimmerman had been out watching for suspicious activity because of the home burglaries. That is the job of a neighborhood watch.

3) We know from the 911 call that Mr Zimmerman saw a person walking into the front yards of home and looking into windows. In other words a person who was acting in suspicious manner as if the person was looking for an empty home to break into. Mr Martin was not simply walking on the sidewalk as people have presented.

4) When Mr Zimmerman approached the person the person went between two houses and disappeared from view. The fact Mr Zimmerman was told not to by the 911 dispatcher is irrelevant.

5) Mr Zimmerman then returned to his vehicle. Before he got back to his vehicle someone attacked him and was obviously trying to harm him. Bashing a person's head against a concrete curb is a clear sign of intent to harm and is imminent harm.

6) Mr Zimmerman shot and killed his attacker. He was not able to overpower his attacker and had to use a weapon to defend and protect himself.

7) We know that Mr Zimmerman was treated for head injuries at the scene.

8) We know Mr Zimmerman was initial charged with a man-slaughter charge by the police which the Prosecutors refused claiming the "stand-your-ground-law" protected Mr Zimmerman based upon the evidence and existing record.

Now lets consider some other issues. Mr Martin was killed as the result of his attack on Mr Zimmerman. If Mr Martin was so scared of Mr Zimmerman why did he return to the area where Mr Zimmerman's vehicle was? Why did Mr Martin attack Mr Zimmerman in the first place. Remember, the 911 recording recorded the attack. Could Mr Martin have been trying to get rid of a potential witness to his looking for a home to burglarize?

From where I am sitting the only reason Mr Zimmerman was charged with a crime is because the racemongers, anti-gun people, "someone else is responsible" crowd, and a prosecutor bowing to political pressure, are out there screaming because a Black man was killed because of the Black man's own behavior. We are supposed to hold everyone to the same standards but people always seem to be making excused for Black-Americans. If Mr Martin had been White, Hispanic or other non-Black ethnicity no one would be making an issue of Mr Martin's death. It would be a case of one man attacking another man and the victim managing to kill his attacker who was trying to kill or otherwise harm the intended victim. But because a Black man was killed by a non-Black man it is some how racially motivated. If Mr Martin had not attacked Mr Zimmerman Mr Martin would still be alive. All of the reports clearly show Mr Martin attacked Mr Zimmerman at Mr Zimmerman's vehicle.

Think back on all of the so-called racially motivated events over the last 30 year or so and ask yourself this simple question : "If the race/ethnicity component is removed is the behavior of so-called victim the cause of the result?". Look at the Rodney King incident and the police shooting at the illegal night club in the Bronx (?) in New York City.

In Rodney King's case he was a belligerent drunk who attacked the arresting officers. The complete video showed this to be the case which is why the police officers were exonerated. Yet because the media and other distorted and edited the video people got a distorted view of the arrest. Remember, the other 3 drunks in the car obeyed the police and were sitting on the side of the road in handcuffs. Only Rodney King attacked the police and resisted arrest – for drunk driving. And the result was people destroying their own community over a drunk driver who attacked the police when the police were shown to be innocent of any crime. Racism and racist attitudes blind people to the truth and allow them to be manipulated – usually to their own detriment. In this case people rioted over a drunk driver who had to be subdued by force because of the man's strengths and violent nature. Sounds kind of stupid - right?

In the New York City case a wanted violent drug dealer tried to run down two undercover officers searching for another suspect. Of course this drug dealer could not afford to be questioned by police since he had pending arrest warrants. The media and other race-mongers tried to make this guy look like a saint since he was getting married the next day. But once the truth came out the race-mongers shut up. The truth and facts could not be gotten around.

So look past the race-mongering and look at the facts presented. Don't allow yourself to be deceived by the race-mongers or to have your emotions manipulated. Look past the ethnicity, perceived or otherwise, and look at the events themselves. And what is being done is to prejudice people against Mr Zimmerman. Even the bond issue sounds contrived to me. The lawyers set up a defense fund and people claim the Zimmermans knew it was theirs to use as they wished or desired. If someone set up a defense fund in your name would you think of it as your money or as money to pay the lawyers and for your defense? Think about it. Based upon what is in the public arena Mr Zimmerman is the victim, not the perpetrator.