

Balance

There is absolutely NO proof to even suggest TM was buying skittles and a drink to create some concoction called "lean". To assume he was purchasing these things for some illegal use is just that - a baseless *assumption* to criminalize TM without proof. You are going beyond "re-writting" available information. You are creating your own story about this lean stuff with no proof. I am not saying GZ was perfect (he obviously is not!); I'm not saying TM was perfect (he obviously was not!). What I am saying is that GZ has a history of being over aggressive and could just as easily have thrown the first blow against TM. When you fight the ****POLICE**** you have clear and extreme aggression problems. The same kind of problem that would lead you to get out of your car and follow an innocent youth on foot (after following him in your car), then (possibly) starting a fight, and shooting him. TM was doing nothing wrong that night, and there is also no proof that he threw the first blow against GZ. However, there is proof that GZ has aggression problems, wrongly profiled TM, put him in a situation that made him fear for his life; and then ended his life. So, to say GZ has no responsibility for this loss of life is absolutely wrong. It ignores the known facts, and dehumanizes TM into someone who must have deserved death - for some phantom reason - and got what he deserved. That is unjust and an atrocity.

I don't claim to know what the Prosecution was thinking. I do know they did their part to totally bungle this case! TM's past behavior is irrelevant to the fact that he was doing nothing wrong or illegal that night. There is no proof that he did anything deserving of losing his life!

Response

If you actually look at the facts in evidence you would know that the items Mr Martin purchased was used for Mr Martin's favorite drug concoction "lean". This drug concoction also causes liver damage which Mr Martin was identified as having in the autopsy as well as other paranoia. This and a host of other issues related to Mr Martin's behavior was not introduced since it would harm the Prosecution non-existence case even more. Some of these items are his suspensions for drug possession, possession of stolen property and violence – including an assault and battery on a bus driver. It is why the Prosecution did not try to introduce Mr Zimmerman past problems. The minute they did that then Mr Martin's past behavior would be able to be introduced.

You seem to be forgetting or ignoring that Mr Martin was once again expelled from school for drug related problems and was kicked out his mother's house because he was out of control. You are ignoring the fact that Mr Zimmerman called the police to report a person looking into the windows of a house whose behavior also involved looking to see if some one might be watching him and that he did not know the ethnicity of the person when he called. Mr Martin was not just walking on the sidewalk as his apologist constantly claim. You want Mr Zimmerman to be lying but there is no evidence he lied at any time. Mr Zimmerman eventually left his car to identify exactly where he was and that is when the evidence shows he was attacked. You are also ignoring that Mr Martin whereabouts were unknown. When Mr Zimmerman went to see where Mr Martin has disappeared to it was due to the belief the police dispatcher was asking him to identify where Mr Martin went. Mr Martin apologist have alter the audio and dispatch recording to make Mr Zimmerman to be doing something other than what he was doing.

You are trying to create events and facts that the known facts and events do not support. And you are ignoring the facts in evidence. No matter how much you want to make the culprit in this case Mr Zimmerman the known facts show Mr Martin was the aggressor. It was Mr Zimmerman who called the police dispatcher and followed the instruction of the police dispatcher. Mr Martin could easily have

called the police or gone to where his father's lived. Instead the facts show he followed and attacked Mr Zimmerman. Mr Zimmerman was talking with the police dispatcher during this whole event until just after he gave them a specific address for the police to meet him. This and the other facts available to the police is the reason they did not charge Mr Zimmerman with any crime in the first place. The facts showed Mr Zimmerman to be the victim not the culprit. What Mr Martin was observed doing would be considered suspect and a preliminary preparation for a burglary. And the evidence shows Mr Martin attacked Mr Zimmerman. Just because you refuse to accept the facts of the case does not change them.

Stop looking at the events emotionally and review the facts objectively. If you can find something objective and based upon the facts in evidence and that is not emotion based then come back. And remember, nothing on the INTERNET disappears and that includes Mr Martin's Facebook information.

Janice387

LOL, HIS FATHER WAS A FULL BLOODED AFRICAN...BLACK AS HELL!!!!!!

Response

Because Mr Obama's father is Kenyan and from Africa does not make Mr Obama an African-American as the term is used in the United States. The terms Black-American require one to have in one's ancestry a former slave or Free-Black from the pre-US Civil War time. The term African-American extends this slave/Free Black requirement to this hemisphere. And these are not my definition but definitions the Black community has been using for years. By the standard usage of hyphenated ethnicity of more than 50 plus years Mr Obama is Kenyan-American, or to include his mother's heritage as well Kenyan-White-American. He has no Black-American or African-American ancestry as those terms are used.

I had a Kenyan professor, who skin was actually black, in college many many years ago and he considered it to be an insult to be called a Black-American. And Haitian-Americans will also correct you if you call them a Black-American. Until the Black Community stops blaming everyone else for what it has done to itself it will never progress and regain the prosperity it had in the past and it now continues to lose. You really should open your eyes.

Janice387

THEY DID NOT ASK HIM WHERE HE HAD WENT, THE DISPATCHER NEVER ASKED HIM THAT, YOU PEOPLE PUT YOUR OWN WORD INTO THIS TO MAKE IT RIGHT, AND HOWEVER MANY MINUTES THE VIDEO SHOWED, IT SHOWED ENOUGH, SO THE WORLD COULD SEE THE RACIST COPS BEATING KING LIKE RACE HORSE, IF THE COPS WERE EVER CAUGHT BEATING OR SHOOTING A WHITE MAN 50 TIMES THE WORLD WOULD COME TO A END FOR THEM...

WONDER WHO CAME UP WITH THE TUTORING BLACK CHILDREN STORY, NOT ONE BLACK CHILD OR MOTHER CAME UP AND SAID ZIMMERMAN TUTORED MY CHILD...

WHAT IF YOU WERE NEAR A SCHOOL THAT BEEN SHOT UP BY SCHOOL SHOOTERS, AND YOU HAPPEN TO WALK BY AND EVERYONE FREAKS OUT AND ATTACK YOU AND SAY THAT YOU FIT THE PROFILE OF A SCHOOL SHOOTERS, AND KILL YOU....THINK!!!!!! THE

PARENTS PUT A PICTURE OF TRAYVON AT 2 LOL, I GUESS YOU DONT HAVE PICTURE OF YOUR CHILDREN WHEN THEY WERE 2...AND YOUR NOT PUSHING HATE BY SAYING THIS KID WAS OUT WITH A CAN OF TEA AND SKITTLES WAS PLANNING A BREAKIN, AND HE WAS ON THE PHONE WITH FRIEND THE ENTIRE TIME, YOUR INSANE AND THERES NOTHING ELSE I SAY CAN FOR YOU, BUT WWWWWWWW CRAZY

TRAYVON MARTIN WAS NOT THE AGGRESSOR, IF HE HAD BEEN LOOKING FOR TROUBLE LIKE YOU SAID HE WOULD HAVE HAD A GUN, TRAYVON WAS THERE VISTING HIS FATHER AND HIS GIRLFRIEND, WHY WOULD HE BE LOOKING INTO HOMES WHEN HE DID NOT LIVE THERE, AND DID NOT KNOW ANYONE, TRAYVON HAD 50 DOLLARS IN HIS POCKET AND A FAMLIY THAT LOVED HIM, YOUR SHOWING YOUR RACISM JUST BY SAYING HIS MOTHER WAS A LIER, AND THE PICTURES SHE PUT OUT WERE LIES, AND WHAT TRAYVON REALLY LOOKED LIKE, THE GOLD TEETH, OR GRILLE CAN BE BOUGHT AT ANY STORE, AND BOYS PUT THEM AS A FORM OF PLAYFULNESS, AND THEN THEY TAKE THEM OFF, AND DID YOU KNOW THAT TRAYVON HAD 3.7 GPA, AND WAS IN LINE FOR A FULL SCHOLARSHIP..YOU DONT KNOW TRAYVON MARTIN, ALL YOU KNOW IS HIS SKIN...

THERE WAS NO FIGHT, JUST A KILLING, AND IF YOU GO YOU TUBE, AND LOOK INTO ZIMMERMAN LIES, IT MIGHT CHANGE YOUR COLD RACIST CRAZY HEART, BECAUSE TRAYVON SKIN WAS HIS SIN...YOU THINK JUST BECAUSE HE IS A BLACK KID HE HAS NOTHING GOOD ABOUT HIM, BUT AT LEAST HE WAS NOT OUT HERE LIKE WHITE KIDS KILLING KIDS SITTING AT THERE DESKS....

NO MARTIN DID NOT SAY THAT, YOU SEE ZIMMERMAN SAID THAT, BECAUSE THAT IS WHY TRAYVON WAS SCREAMING, BECAUSE ZIMMERMAN TOLD HIM THAT HE WAS GOING TO KILL HIM...ONLY ONE HAD PARANOIA THAT NIGHT WAS ZIMMERMAN, WHEN YOU HEAR ON THE 911 TAPE HIM LIEING TO THE 911 OPERATOR, THAT TRAYVON WAS LOOKING INTO HOUSES, AND HE RUNNING(BECAUSE HE WAS TRYING TO GET AWAY FROM ZIMMERMAN) AND THAT CHILD DID NOT HAVE VOLIENT BONE IN HIS BODY, WHAT VOLIENT PERSON YOU KNOW DOES NOT CARRY A WEAPON, AND SCREAMS FOR HIS LIFE...YOUR A CRAZY BRAIN WASHED PERSON...

ZIMMERMAN SO CALLED ATTACK WAS MADE UP, WHY WAS HIS JACKET CLEAN SINCE HE SAID THAT HE WAS ON THE GROUND FIGHTING FOR HIS LIFE" WHERE WAS THE PROFF, AND NO MUD, GRASS STAIN, DIRT, TORN JACKET, BLOOD ON THE COLLAR, AND NO DNA OR BLOOD WAS NEVER FOUND ON TRAYVON SLEEVES, HANDS, NAILS,CLOTHES THAT BELONGED TO ZIMMERMAN, IF THERE WAS A FIGHT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN BLOOD TRANSFER.... ALL IT WAS SELF INFLECTED INJURIES...

Response

It is obvious that you have problems with reading comprehension. And screaming, that is putting everything in capital letters, shows a significant lack of maturity as well as an inability to think and reason. You appear to be a classic ideologue – that is a person who is so emotionally invested in something that when the facts don't agree with your position you are incapable of handling the truth and facts.

The picture Mr Martin's parents released has been identified as being Mr Martin at age 15 or in other

words is the PHOTO was at least 2 years old when released to the media and may in fact be older. It may actually be a photo of Mr Martin at age 12 – meaning the PHOTO would have been at least 5 years old when given to the media. When someone lies about a person and intentionally deceives people about a person that person is a liar. Mr Martin's parents released a picture that did not have Mr Martin's self-made gang tattoos or his gold teeth. They lied about his behavior. They did their best to white-wash their son's behavior. Thus they are liars and calling them liars is legitimate.

You refuse to look at who Mr Martin really was – a violent drug using thief and thug. He was caught with stolen property and tools to engage in burglary. He was known to be violent. He was so out of control his mother threw him out of the house and sent him to live with his father. He was not just visiting his father. Mr Martin had been suspended twice for drugs, once for stolen property and based upon reports from possibly 6 to 9 times for violence. And the violence includes an assault and battery on a bus driver. And we don't know how many times the school administrators covered up his behavior. Added to that his self-described favorite drug concoction called “lean” was known to cause liver damage, which the autopsy revealed, and paranoia.

As for the screaming the third party 911 caller identified the person screaming as being the victim – Mr Zimmerman, not Mr Martin. You are choosing to ignore the facts again. You, like some many people, want Mr Martin to be the victim, when the evidence shows Mr Zimmerman to be the victim. Why do you think the prosecutor did not introduce Mr Zimmerman past problems? It was because if the prosecutor did that then all of Mr Martin real behavior would have come in. His drug use, thievery and violence. This is information that has been available ever since the first reports in the local reports of this case.

There is so much more that the main stream media has refused to talk about in this case since it would undermine their claim of racism, since racism was never part of this case and it is obvious when one looks at the real facts of the case. You apparently are so invested emotionally in making Mr Martin the victim you can not accept the facts of the case. Even the ancestry of Mr Zimmerman and his past actions have been distorted in order to make Mr Zimmerman look like a racist and bigot. In fact, even if Mr Zimmerman were the worst racist and bigot in the world the facts of case still indicate he was acting in self-defense. And you don't want to accept the facts of the good Mr Zimmerman has done in the past. Accepting or acknowledging that would undermine your emotionally based opinion of the facts.

As was pointed out Mr Zimmerman THOUGHT the dispatcher asked where Mr Martin went. And when the dispatcher realized that Mr Zimmerman was trying to identify where Mr Martin had gone the dispatcher asked Mr Zimmerman if he was doing that and indicated he did not need to do that and Mr Zimmerman complied. You seem to be ignoring that Mr Zimmerman was on with the police dispatcher up until the Mr Zimmerman had identified a specific address for the police to meet him. And that it was while returning to his vehicle that Mr Zimmerman was attacked. And as well that Mr Martin was no longer in sight anywhere. And what started the chain of events is that Mr Zimmerman observed a person looking into the window of a home in a community plagued with home and car burglaries, and a home invasion where the criminals were identified as “YOUNG BLACK MEN”. And the dispatch recording indicate that Mr Zimmerman did not know the ethnicity of the person he observed when the police dispatcher asked about the ethnicity. The facts do not support your opinion about the case. And even the jurors in this case – as much as they wanted to convict Mr Zimmerman of something – realized that the facts in evidence supported Mr Zimmerman's statements.

Your questions about DNA and other items are covered by the rain and the simple fact that the Mr

Zimmerman was punched in the face and had his head slammed into the sidewalk. And that when Mr Zimmerman weapon was exposed Mr Martin went for it and the result was that Mr Zimmerman finally used to weapon to defend himself against his attacker. One bullet was fired and killed Mr Martin. The fight was not that long. You should really stop thinking TV crime shows are real life. The police reviewed the evidence available and determined if was a clear case of self-defense. Take the time to learn the real facts and events of the case.

As for Rodney King you seem to fail to understand that Mr King was drunk and high on PCP and other drugs. He had caused multiple accidents and when finally stopped he attacked the police. The other passengers in the car were sitting in handcuffs on the side of the road. PCP is known to make people violent and give them even more strength than normal. When the whole video was played it showed a violent Rodney King attack the police and the police doing their best to subdue Mr King who continued to refuse to obey instructions. And when he died recently he was high on drugs as well. You really should look at the facts and not use your emotions to make statements.

As for your claim about racism that is standard screaming since the facts of this case do not support your position. If you stopped your own emotional responses and looked at the facts in evidence objectively you see the lies that have been pushed by Mr Martin's parents and those who want to make this into a racism issue. Start by looking at the real facts of the cases if you have the strength to set your emotional based opinions aside and look at the facts. There has been no real racial based incidents in the national news for decades. In every case the problem is the behavior of the so-called victim. Until the Black Community stops blaming everyone else for what it has done to itself and screaming racism all the time it will never progress and regain the prosperity it had in the past and it now continues to lose. People are tired of these constant cries of racism and "The boy who cried wolf" claims.