

# Illegal Collusion Between Government and Big Tech Exposed

Analysis by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

✓ Fact Checked

September 15, 2022

## STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › Federal officials in the Biden administration have held secret and illegal censorship meetings with social media companies to suppress Americans' First Amendment rights to free speech, and to ban or deplatform those who share unauthorized views about COVID and vaccines
- › The evidence for this comes out of a lawsuit brought by the New Civil Liberties Alliance and the attorneys general of Missouri and Louisiana (Eric Schmitt and Jeff Landry) against President Biden, filed in May 2022
- › Monthly, a Unified Strategies Group (USG) meeting took place – and may still be taking place – between a wide variety of government agencies and Big Tech companies, during which topics to be censored and suppressed were/are discussed
- › Censored topics included stories involving COVID job refusal, especially those involving military refusals and consequences thereof, criticism against COVID restrictions and their effects on mental health, posts talking about testing positive for COVID after getting the job, personal stories of COVID job side effects, including menstrual irregularities, and worries about vaccine passports becoming mandatory
- › Discovery documents obtained so far have identified more than 50 federal employees across 15 federal agencies, engaged in illegal censorship activities. Emails from the strategic communications and marketing firm Reingold also reveals outside consultants were hired to manage the government's collusion with social media to violate Americans' Constitutional free speech rights

In a September 1, 2022, article,<sup>1</sup> the Post Millennial reveals how federal officials in the Biden administration have held secret censorship meetings with social media companies to suppress Americans' First Amendment rights to free speech, and to ban or deplatform those who share unauthorized views about COVID and vaccines.

The evidence for this comes out of a lawsuit<sup>2</sup> brought by the New Civil Liberties Alliance and the attorneys general of Missouri and Louisiana (Eric Schmitt and Jeff Landry) against President Biden, filed in May 2022.

During the discovery process, the plaintiffs sought to identify “all meetings with any social media platform relating to content modulation and/or misinformation,” which is how we now know that such illegal meetings did, in fact, take place.

## **Illegal Collusion to Suppress Free Speech**

Monthly, a Unified Strategies Group (USG) meeting took place – and may still be taking place – between a wide variety of government agencies and Big Tech companies, during which topics to be censored and suppressed were/are discussed.

Censored topics included stories involving COVID job refusal, especially those involving military refusals and consequences thereof, criticism against COVID restrictions and their effects on mental health, posts talking about testing positive for COVID after getting the job, personal stories of COVID job side effects, including menstrual irregularities, and worries about vaccine passports becoming mandatory.<sup>3</sup> According to the New Civil Liberties Alliance:<sup>4</sup>

*“... scores of federal officials ... have secretly communicated with social-media platforms to censor and suppress private speech federal officials disfavor. This unlawful enterprise has been wildly successful.*

*Under the First Amendment, the federal government may not police private speech nor pick winners and losers in the marketplace of ideas. But that is precisely what the government has done – and is still doing – on a massive scale not previously divulged.*

*Multiple agencies' communications demonstrate that the federal government has exerted tremendous pressure on social-media companies – pressure to which companies have repeatedly bowed ...*

*Communications show these federal officials are fully aware that the pressure they exert is an effective and necessary way to induce social-media platforms to increase censorship. The head of the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency even griped about the need to overcome social-media companies' 'hesitation' to work with the government ...*

*This unlawful government interference violates the fundamental right of free speech for all Americans, whether or not they are on social media. More discovery is needed to uncover the full extent of this regime – i.e., the identities of other White House and agency officials involved and the nature and content of their communications with social-media companies.”*

Jenin Younes, litigation counsel for the New Civil Liberties Alliance added:<sup>5</sup>

*“If there was ever any doubt the federal government was behind censorship of Americans who dared to dissent from official COVID messaging, that doubt has been erased. The shocking extent of the government's involvement in silencing Americans, through coercing social-media companies, has now been revealed ...”*

## **Federal Agencies Involved in Free Speech Suppression**

Documents obtained so far have identified more than 50 federal employees across 15 federal agencies, who participated in these censorship meetings or otherwise engaged in illegal censorship activities.<sup>6</sup> This includes officials from:

---

The Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency's (CISA) Election Security and Resilience team

**Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) Office of Intelligence and Analysis**

---

**The FBI's foreign influence taskforce**

---

**The Justice Department's (DOJ) national security division**

---

**The Office of the Director of National Intelligence**

---

**White House staff (including White House lawyer Dana Remus, deputy assistant to the president Rob Flaherty and former White House senior COVID-19 adviser Andy Slavitt)**

---

**Health and Human Services (HHS)**

---

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)**

---

**National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)**

---

**The Office of the Surgeon General**

---

**The Census Bureau**

---

**The Food and Drug Administration (FDA)**

---

**The State Department**

---

**The U.S. Treasury Department**

---

**The U.S. Election Assistance Commission**

---

**Emails from a strategic communications and marketing firm called Reingold<sup>7</sup> also reveals that outside consultants were hired to manage the government's collusion with social media to censor Americans. For example, Reingold set up a "partner support**

portal” for the CDC so that CDC officials could link emails to the portal for easier flagging of content it wanted censored by social media companies linked to the portal.

## **Big Tech Companies Involved in Government Censorship**

On the private industry side, notable tech participants in the censorship meetings include:

Google	Facebook
Twitter	YouTube
Reddit	Microsoft
Verizon Media	Pinterest
LinkedIn	Wikimedia Foundation

While some social media companies may have “hesitated” to censor on the government’s behalf at times, Facebook was certainly an eager beaver from the get-go. As early as February 2020, Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg was in contact with the State Department, offering its services to help “control information and misinformation related to coronavirus.”<sup>8</sup>

## **Biden Administration’s ‘Executive Privilege’ Denied**

As you might expect, the White House has not cooperated with discovery and have fought to keep communications secret — especially with regard to Dr. Anthony Fauci’s correspondence — claiming all White House communications as “privileged.”

However, executive privilege does NOT apply to external communications, so the plaintiffs called on the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Louisiana to

“overrule the government defendants’ objections and order them to supply this highly relevant, responsive and probative information immediately.”

September 7, 2022, Judge Terry Doughty did just that. The Biden administration’s claim of executive privilege was rejected and Doughty ordered the White House to hand over any and all relevant records.<sup>9</sup> That includes correspondence to and from Fauci, White House press secretary Karine Jean-Pierre and many others. According to the judge’s order, they have three weeks to comply.

## Examples of Illegal Government Censorship

On Twitter,<sup>10</sup> Missouri AG Schmitt has shared a long list of examples of government censorship, including one document in which Clarke Humphrey, COVID-19 response digital director at the White House, asked Facebook to take down the Instagram account “anthonyfauciofficial,” a parody account dedicated to making fun of Fauci.<sup>11</sup> Facebook complied.

Schmitt also shared emails<sup>12,13</sup> between a senior Facebook official and the surgeon general, stating, “I know our teams met today to better understand the scope of what the White House expects from us on misinformation going forward.” This email came on the heels of the surgeon general’s July 2021 “misinformation health advisory.”

The CDC also coordinated with Facebook, providing them with talking points to debunk various claims, including the claim that spike protein in the COVID shots is dangerous and cytotoxic. In a July 28, 2021, email, a CDC official provided Facebook with the following counter-narrative, taken straight from the “How mRNA Vaccines Work” section on the CDC website:<sup>14</sup>

*“Messenger mRNA [sic] vaccines work by teaching our cells to create a harmless spike protein ...”* (Emphasis in the original.)

Fast-forward to mid-June 2022, and the CDC was suddenly less sure about the harmlessness of the spike protein.

Up until then, the words “harmless spike protein” had always been bolded, but in this June revision, they removed the bolding, along with an entire section in which they’d previously claimed that mRNA was rapidly broken down and spike protein did not last more than a few weeks in the body.<sup>15</sup> Clearly, the truth was catching up to them and certain lies were getting too risky to hold on to.

CISA also reached out to Google, Meta (Facebook’s parent company), Microsoft and Twitter for help, shortly after the [DHS’s Disinformation Governance Board](#) was announced.<sup>16</sup> Fortunately, public outcry put an end to this Orwellian Ministry of Truth before it got started.

## **When Censorship Becomes Election Interference**

According to The Washington Times:<sup>17</sup>

*“Details about the Biden administration’s conduct raised the hackles of Republican lawmakers. ‘Confirming that this is the most dangerously anti-free speech administration in American history AND that Facebook ... is nothing but an appendage of the deep state,’ Sen. Josh Hawley, Missouri Republican, said on Twitter as he shared news of the court filing.”*

Other lawmakers are also getting involved. In an August 29, 2022, letter<sup>18,19</sup> to Attorney General Merrick Garland and FBI Director Christopher A. Wray, Republican Sens. Charles E. Grassley of Iowa and Ron Johnson of Wisconsin requested records of the government’s contacts with social media companies to ascertain whether the FBI and/or DOJ did, in fact, instruct them to censor information about the Hunter Biden laptop scandal by falsely referring to it as “Russian disinformation.”<sup>20</sup>

Zuckerberg has also been asked<sup>21</sup> to provide any correspondence involving the censorship of the Hunter Biden laptop story, especially as it pertains to the FBI’s instructions to censor this political hot potato – something he openly admitted in a recent Joe Rogan interview (see video above).<sup>22</sup>

# Lawmakers Pursue Legislation to Penalize Gov't Censorship

Three Republican House Representatives on the House Oversight and Reform, Judiciary, and Commerce committees – Reps. James Comer of Kentucky, Jim Jordan of Ohio, and Cathy McMorris Rodgers of Washington – have also introduced the Protecting Speech from Government Interference Act<sup>23</sup> (HR.8752), aimed at preventing federal employees from using their positions to influence censorship decisions by tech platforms.

The bill would create restrictions to prevent federal employees from asking or encouraging private entities to censor private speech or otherwise discourage free speech, and impose penalties, including civil fines and disciplinary actions for government employees who facilitate social media censorship.

While the U.S. Constitution clearly forbids government censoring and restricting free speech, HR. 8752 could be a helpful enforcement tool, as people might tend to think twice when they know there's a real and personal price to pay.

## Sources and References

---

- <sup>1, 3, 8</sup> [Post Millennial September 1, 2022](#)
- <sup>2</sup> [State of Missouri and State of Louisiana Against President Joseph Biden, Civil Action No. 22-cv-1213](#)
- <sup>4, 5</sup> [New Civil Liberties Alliance September 1, 2022](#)
- <sup>6</sup> [NTD September 1, 2022](#)
- <sup>7</sup> [Reingold](#)
- <sup>9</sup> [Washington Times September 7, 2022](#)
- <sup>10, 13</sup> [Twitter Eric Schmitt September 1, 2022 thread](#)
- <sup>11, 16, 17, 20</sup> [Washington Times September 1, 2022](#)
- <sup>12</sup> [Twitter, Eric Schmitt, Emails Between FB and SG](#)
- <sup>14</sup> [Ago.mo.gov CDC emails to Facebook July 2021](#)
- <sup>15</sup> [AIER September 1, 2022](#)
- <sup>18</sup> [Chuck Grassley Letter to Garland and Wray August 29, 2022](#)
- <sup>19</sup> [Chuck Grassley August 30, 2022](#)
- <sup>21</sup> [Chuck Grassley Letter to Mark Zuckerberg August 29, 2022](#)
- <sup>22</sup> [Spotify Joe Rogan Experience, Episode 1863](#)
- <sup>23</sup> [HR 8752 – Protecting Speech from Government Interference Act](#)