

CDC Adds COVID Jab to Childhood Immunization Schedule

Analysis by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

✓ Fact Checked

October 28, 2022

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › Unlicensed COVID-19 shots will be added to the U.S. childhood, adolescent and adult vaccine schedules after a unanimous (15-0) vote by the U.S. CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices
- › By adding the shots to the vaccine schedule, it paves the way for U.S. schools to mandate them for attendance
- › Pfizer and Moderna, the shots' makers, will also be granted permanent legal indemnity, which otherwise would have disappeared once COVID-19 shots were no longer protected under emergency use authorization
- › Once the CDC recommends a shot for "routine administration to children or pregnant women," it becomes liability free
- › Even though COVID-19 shots have been added to the childhood vaccine schedule, they haven't been mandated for school attendance in most states – yet. In the event that they are – and even before that – it's time for dissent and a complete overhaul of the CDC

Unlicensed COVID-19 shots will be added to the U.S. childhood, adolescent and adult vaccine schedules after a unanimous (15-0) vote by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP).

The move is likely to shatter whatever remaining trust Americans had in the CDC – "as it should," said Dr. Robert Malone, the inventor of the mRNA and DNA vaccine core

platform technology.¹ “I am shocked by the malfeasance. I have no trust left at all in our public health system. It is broken.”

By adding the shots to the vaccine schedule, it paves the way for U.S. schools to require them for attendance. The shots were also added to the Vaccine for Children (VFC) program, which provides vaccines to children at no or low cost using federal funding.² Pfizer and Moderna, the shots’ makers, will also be granted permanent legal indemnity, which otherwise would have disappeared once COVID-19 shots were no longer protected under emergency use authorization (EUA).³

Other Countries Refuse to Give COVID Shots to Kids

Many countries around the world have already walked back their recommendations to give COVID-19 shots to kids, as it became apparent that they may cause deadly side effects and are far less effective than promised.

In January 2022, Sweden’s Health Agency recommended against COVID-19 jabs for kids aged 5 to 11, stating the benefits do not outweigh the risks.⁴ Denmark also no longer recommends COVID-19 shots for children under 18,⁵ and in September 2022, England announced it would no longer offer COVID-19 shots to children unless they’re high risk.⁶

Meanwhile, in the U.S., the CDC added COVID-19 jabs to the new childhood vaccination schedule on October 17, 2022⁷ – days before the ACIP’s actual vote.

“When the CDC briefer ... was asked how CDC could add an EUA (unlicensed) vaccine to the schedule, she said something like, ‘We checked with OGC [Office of General Counsel] and they said it was OK.’ And that was that. No legal justification. No moral or ethical justification. And definitely no medical or scientific justification,” Dr. Meryl Nass, a board-certified internal medicine physician with special expertise in vaccine safety and vaccine mandates, wrote on her blog.⁸

Steve Kirsch, executive director of the Vaccine Safety Research Foundation, also asked Grace Lee, ACIP committee chair, if she wanted to see data from Israel showing the COVID-19 shots are not safe. She did not. According to Kirsch:⁹

“These people do not want to see any data that shows the vaccines are not safe ... She [Lee] refused to answer my Yes or No question on this important data and called the cops on me (even though I didn’t violate any laws). I have it all on video. That is the level of corruption we are dealing with here: ‘scientists’ who simply look the other way when asked if they want to see the safety data.”

Pfizer, Moderna Get Full Liability Protection

Vaccine makers have nothing to lose by marketing experimental COVID-19 shots, even if they cause serious injury and death, as they enjoy full indemnity against injuries occurring from COVID-19 shots or any other pandemic jab under the Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness (PREP) Act, passed in the U.S. in 2005. As reported by investigative journalist Jordan Schachtel:¹⁰

“In March 2020, the federal government invoked the PREP Act, which gave Pfizer and Moderna a tort liability shield due to the declared ‘public health emergency,’ which the government is reportedly going to revoke in early 2023. The companies’ emergency use authorization shots have since been protected by the federal government through this 2005 congressional action.”

Once the shots’ EUA status was revoked, they would need to seek FDA approval; however, it’s suspected that this has been purposely delayed to protect Pfizer and Moderna from facing legal liability for injuries caused by COVID-19 shots. However, once the CDC recommends a shot for “routine administration to children or pregnant women,” it becomes liability-free. Schachtel explained:¹¹

“By adding the shots to the childhood schedule, the CDC’s Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) will transfer liability for vaccine injuries to the federal government’s National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP), allowing for Pfizer and Moderna to finally bring an FDA approved shot to the market without opening itself up to lawsuits.

Moreover, it will act as another windfall for companies that have already brought in hundreds of billions of dollars in revenues, by requiring these vaccinations for children who attend public schools.

... Over the course of COVID Mania, Pfizer and Moderna have raked in hundreds of billions of dollars in liability free dollars, enriching their executives and board members beyond their wildest dreams.

Thanks to Big Pharma's successful regulatory capture of Government Health, and corrupt bureaucrats' willing compliance, it looks like the mRNA drug cartel will be completely off the hook when it comes to compensating the countless Americans who were harmed by their junk products."

Timeline to Prevent Liability Gaps

Now that COVID-19 shots have been added to the schedule, expect to see the end of the public health "emergency." "The 'emergency' can now end," Kirsch said. "They needed the emergency to be able to create EUA approval which gave them liability protection as long as the emergency existed. The emergency is no longer needed. The vaccine makers can now manufacture fully 'approved' vaccines and have complete liability protection forever."¹²

It's interesting to note, however, that the day before the ACIP vote, Dr. José Romero, the CDC's director of the National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, said that the CDC was not adding COVID-19 shots to the childhood schedule.

By the next morning, the CDC revealed that it was, in fact, adding them.¹³ "CDC tried to hide what it was doing until the last minute," Nass wrote.¹⁴ The question is, why? Why did the CDC make the announcement now, when it doesn't go into effect until 2023?

The answer could lie in creating the perfect timeline to prevent any gaps in liability for the vaccine makers. Nass explained:

“The EUAs disappear when the emergency stops (the declarations stop, and they are being renewed every 3 months). So yes, they want to be ready for the ending of the emergency, and they want to seamlessly shift liability to the other liability shield, the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.

But that did not seem to require any action at this time. What might require action is licensing the vaccines. Could it be possible that the FDA folk have said they won't be issuing any more licenses any time soon? There have not been announcements that Pfizer and Moderna have filed the paperwork for licenses. Because, despite everything that has happened, legally you really cannot mandate an experimental product.

If I were the mfrs' or government's lawyers, I would be making sure that the transition from CICIP [Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program] to VICP could happen instantaneously, with no moment in time during which the mfrs and government program planners were subject to liability. Putting it on the childhood schedule is necessary to move the vaccine to the VICP.”

Will COVID-19 Shots Become Mandatory to Attend US Schools?

As far back as fall 2021, 60% of U.S. parents opposed adding COVID-19 shots to list of required shots for school.¹⁵ ACIP isn't focused on appeasing parents' wishes, however. ACIP committee member Dr. Nirav Shah, director of the Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention, told CNN that adding COVID-19 shots to the CDC's recommended vaccine schedule would not impact which vaccines are required for school entrance:¹⁶

“We recognize that there is concern around this, but moving Covid-19 to the recommended immunization schedule does not impact what vaccines are required for school entrance, if any ... Indeed, there are vaccines that are on the schedule right now that are not required for school attendance in many jurisdictions, such as seasonal influenza. Local control matters, and we honor that.

The decision around school entrance for vaccines rests where it did before, which is with the state level, the county level and at the municipal level, if it exists at all. They are the arbiters of what vaccines are required, if any, for school entry. This discussion does not change that.”

This is lip service, however. California and Washington, D.C., have already announced that COVID-19 shots would be mandatory for students,¹⁷ and others are sure to follow. Malone said:¹⁸

“Mainstream media is pretending that states determine what vaccines are used, but the truth is that pediatricians use the CDC schedule and state public health officials use this schedule. State public health systems use the schedule to determine which vaccines to require for children to enter schools.

Yes, some states have more stringent requirements than others. Some states allow for ‘opt-outs,’ but in the end, most states follow the CDC guidelines. The ACIP functionally establishes ‘standard of care’ in this area. Therefore, we can expect an even bigger home schooling and private schooling boom.”

Governors from several U.S. states, however, have already spoken out, vowing not to mandate COVID-19 shots for schoolchildren. Among them:¹⁹

- Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis, who stated, “As long as I am governor, in Florida there will not be a COVID-19 vaccine mandate for children in our schools. That is your decision to make as a parent. These are new shots. I get a kick out of it when people compare to MMR – things that have been around for decades and decades.”
- Tennessee Gov. Bill Lee, who tweeted, “I’ve always said mandates are the wrong approach, & TN has led in pushing back on federal covid vaccine requirements. Thanks to our work with the General Assembly, TN families won’t be impacted by today’s CDC vote. We’ll continue to stand for TN children & for personal freedom.”
- Oklahoma Gov. Kevin Stitt, who similarly said, “Regardless of what the CDC says, as long as I am governor, we will never force kids to get a COVID vaccine to go to school.”

- Alabama Gov. Kay Ivey, who stated, “Here in Alabama, the parents make decisions when it comes to their children’s health care. We do NOT mandate the covid shot for kids – nor will we ever.”

Sen. Rand Paul also condemned the CDC’s decision, calling it “appalling”: “This is the same committee that approved booster vaccines for children despite no evidence that COVID boosters reduce transmission, hospitalization or death among children.

Appalling!”²⁰

The CDC Is Corrupt and Can’t Be Trusted

The video above was produced in 2015, but it’s still relevant today and explains how bribery is effectively legalized in the U.S., affecting laws that are meant to serve the people – but which actually serve corporate donors.

The CDC cannot accept “commercial support,” but its foundation – The National Foundation for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention – does accept “donations” for the benefit of public health.²¹

A foundation is a nongovernmental entity that is typically established to make grants to institutions or individuals for scientific and other purposes. Donors often give money to foundations instead of to the institution itself, in part, because foundations have a fiduciary responsibility to represent the donor’s interest.

As reported by “A Midwestern Doctor” on Substack, who remains anonymous, the CDC Foundation has received close to \$1 billion from corporate donors. Historical examples of these corrupt donations include \$60,000 a pesticide research firm prove the safety of two pesticides and more than \$1 million from Coca-Cola, which in turn received advice from a CDC staffer on how to stop efforts to reduce sugar consumption worldwide.²²

So, finding out who funds The CDC Foundation gives clues as to where their allegiance lies – and why the CDC and ACIP have voted to approve every vaccine presented to them, even when evidence suggested they do otherwise. Who’s funding the CDC? Here’s a short list:²³

- **Vaccine profiteers** – The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, GAVI Alliance (created by Gates) and Imperial College London
- **Vaccine Manufacturers** – Pfizer, AstraZeneca, Johnson & Johnson Foundation, Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., Gilead (remdesivir’s manufacturer)
- **Big Tech** – Facebook, Google, Microsoft, PayPal

Further, many ACIP and CDC members have owned patents on the vaccines they’ve voted in favor of. “Despite this,” a Midwestern Doctor noted, “They always claim these massive financial conflicts of interest they held have not clouded their judgment on which vaccines they approved (which is technically true since they almost always approve every vaccine that comes their way).

Providing royalty payments to bureaucrats responsible for pharmaceutical approvals is a slippery slope that consistently incentivizes everyone within the federal bureaucracy to push through dangerous and ineffective products.”²⁴

They added the commonly held belief that the move to add COVID-19 shots to the childhood vaccination schedule was done despite the adverse effects it will have on children – for the protection of the CDC’s funders, vaccine manufacturers:²⁵

“Many outside observers suspect this approval was done to shield the vaccine manufacturers from the inevitable liability they were facing from the explosion of severe injuries from the vaccines and mounting evidence the vaccines were fraudulently marketed (e.g. they are not effective at preventing COVID-19 or transmission of the disease).

By being added to the schedule, this affords the COVID-19 manufacturers the absurd protection that was enshrined by the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act a law (brokered by Fauci) that removed the ability of those harmed by vaccines to sue vaccine manufacturers for their injuries (and for parents of vaccine injured children to receive any support or compensation for many vaccine complications).”

They're Going After Kids for Liability Protection

Ron Paul put it simply when he spoke about the CDC on the "Liberty Report": "They're not our friends. They're not friends of liberty. They're not friends of medicine. They're certainly not friends of kids. But do you know who their best friends are? The pharmaceutical companies."²⁶

The addition of COVID-19 jabs to the childhood vaccine schedule shows the CDC has closed its eyes to the risks these shots pose and is moving forward to protect its friends at all costs. "Now there is no question whether these are public health professionals carefully considering decisions for 334 million people. They are careerists who love vaccines and are blind to the consequences of their actions. Blind is putting it charitably," Nass said.²⁷

In a clip on Mikhaila Peterson's podcast, shared by the "Liberty Report," Robert Kennedy Jr. lays out exactly why the CDC is intent on making COVID-19 shots routine for children:²⁸

"So they're never going to market a vaccine, allow people access to a vaccine, an approved vaccine, without getting liability protection. And now, the Emergency Use Authorization vaccines have liability protection under the PREP Act and the CARES Act.

So as long as ... you take an emergency use, you can't sue them. Once they get approved, now you can sue 'em, unless they can get it recommended for children. Because all vaccines that are recommended, officially recommended for children get it, liability protection. Even if an adult gets that vaccine. That's why they're going after kids."

At this point, even though COVID-19 shots have been added to the childhood vaccine schedule, they haven't been mandated for school attendance in most states – yet. In the event that they are – and even before that – it's time for dissent. "This is regulatory agencies and their advisory committees gone amuck," Nass said. "Time for the people to stop consenting."

Malone added, “Where do we go from here? It is time for an overhaul of the CDC, the ACIP, the FDA, NIH, NIAID and the whole HHS enterprise. It is time for parents to take control back from the state.”²⁹

Sources and References

- ¹ [Substack, Who is Robert Malone October 19, 2022](#)
- ^{2, 19, 20} [ZeroHedge October 21, 2022](#)
- ^{3, 10, 11} [Substack, The Dossier October 18, 2022](#)
- ⁴ [Reuters January 28, 2022](#)
- ⁵ [AP August 18, 2022](#)
- ⁶ [FEE Stories September 14, 2022](#)
- ⁷ [U.S. CDC Interim COVID-19 Immunization Schedule October 17, 2022](#)
- ^{8, 13, 27} [Substack, Meryl’s COVID Newsletter October 20, 2022](#)
- ^{9, 12} [Substack, Steve Kirsch’s Newsletter October 20, 2022](#)
- ¹⁴ [Substack, Meryl’s COVID Newsletter October 22, 2022](#)
- ^{15, 18, 29} [Substack, Who Is Robert Malone October 20, 2022](#)
- ^{16, 17} [CNN October 20, 2022](#)
- ^{21, 22, 23, 24, 25} [Substack, The Forgotten Side of Medicine October 20, 2022](#)
- ²⁶ [Rumble, The Ron Paul Liberty Report October 18, 2022, 0:28](#)
- ²⁸ [Rumble, The Ron Paul Liberty Report October 18, 2022, 6:00](#)