

# Launch of a New Doorway to Freedom

Analysis by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

✓ Fact Checked

July 16, 2023

## STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › The World Health Organization is laying the foundation to take control over all aspects of everyone's lives, across the world, under the auspice of "biosecurity"
- › A new organization called Door to Freedom is being set up as a one-stop shop where everyone can learn what the plan is and what we can do to stop it. Door to Freedom also hopes to align freedom organizations around the world to act in concert to get the word out more widely
- › The global cabal that is trying to seize control over the world have access to loads of capital, but they're also using our tax dollars. The U.S. government has spent some \$5 trillion on the pandemic response. Much of that money went to bribe media, hospitals, influencers, churches, medical groups and other social organizations to push the official narrative
- › Current laws give immunity to a lot of bad actors, including the Federal Reserve, the Bank of International Settlements, everybody who works for the WHO and the UN, federal government employees as well as many private organizations. Vaccines and their manufacturers are also indemnified
- › We need to pass new laws that eliminate all of these indemnifications, so that we can retroactively take them to court for the crimes they've committed

In this video, I interview repeat guest Dr. Meryl Nass, who has a monthly [podcast with journalist James Corbett on Children's Health Defense \(CHD\) TV](#). Their show is focused

on the implementation of the World Health Organization's efforts to install global tyranny with respect to health and global governance.

The implications for public health are enormous and extremely troubling. The WHO is basically laying the **foundation to take control over all aspects of everyone's lives**, across the world, under the auspice of "biosecurity."

In this interview, Nass explains how the WHO is being set up as a central governing body for the world, and what we can do to stop it. She also details the price she's paid for taking a stand against the false COVID narrative and offering early treatment.

## **Sacrificial Lamb**

Nass was one of the doctors who, during the COVID pandemic, offered patients early treatment in Maine and Maryland. As a result, her medical license was suspended and the medical board forced her to undergo psychiatric evaluation. Apparently, in the present era, doctors who think saving lives is more important than following unscientific medical advice created by bureaucrats is considered insanity. She comments:

*"This whole pandemic, and the takeover of the world by 'elites, (global cabal)' has been orchestrated primarily through fear, and one thing that's necessary is to make doctors cooperate. To do that, the best way is to scare them, and the best way to scare them is to threaten their medical licenses ...*

*In July and August of 2021, there were national news reports of several doctors who were prescribing ivermectin and [who] were being investigated, but none of them actually lost their licenses.*

*Apparently, this was not enough to stop doctors from prescribing ivermectin, and in states where it was allowed, hydroxychloroquine. These are both licensed drugs and the federal government had no legal authority to take them off the menu.*

*Licensed doctors could prescribe licensed drugs, as could nurse practitioners, PAs [physician's assistants], et cetera. Neither one had a black box warning, neither one was a controlled substance. They were both safe, and they both had been used for a number of decades.*

*So, instead, it had to be done through the states – because states regulate medical practice in the U.S., and pharmacy practice – so, about 30 states issued either guidelines or rules to pharmacists and doctors telling them whether they could prescribe these drugs and under what circumstances.*

*That had happened in early 2020. In my case, the board got an anonymous complaint against me saying I was spreading misinformation – another charge that the government really needed to control people on. They couldn't have the truth coming out about COVID, the drugs, the vaccines, and about this whole takeover.*

*So, they created this baloney concept of 'misinformation,' 'disinformation' and 'malinformation' and pretended that it was the law, that people who spread misinformation could be charged, and had to stop. A whole huge system was created within the federal government to surveil our online presence and go after people [who went against the narrative].*

*So, I was accused, initially, not of using these drugs, because I used them legally, but of spreading misinformation. And I think that the feds were looking for an excuse to really scare doctors ... I was fairly well known.*

*So they went after me and said, 'Not only are we investigating you, but we find, even before an investigation goes forward, even before any hearing, before the medical board even gets to see you and you get to say one word to them, we've decided that you are such a danger to the people of Maine, we must immediately suspend your license.' They did that on January 12, 2022."*

## **Kangaroo Court**

Nass has not been able to practice medicine since. Before the first hearing, the state medical board tried to get her to plea bargain and surrender her license voluntarily. She refused. By then, she was already working with CHD, and Robert F. Kennedy Jr., who founded CHD, offered to pay for her legal defense.

Of course, before the first hearing, they realized they couldn't possibly take Nass to court for misinformation. After all, the First Amendment allows her to say whatever she wants. So, they dropped the misinformation charges and charged her with using medications off-label instead – only, that's perfectly legal as well.

So, they dropped that charge, and instead argued she'd been speaking ill of the COVID vaccines. But that wasn't a winning strategy either, because, of course, they didn't want to defend the shots in court.

*“So, basically, they went through my records and they tried to find little piddly things, like my records weren't neat enough. I had been doing telemedicine and I hadn't written down the vital signs for a patient, things like that,” Nass says.*

*“So in the hearings that have gone on so far, we've managed to shoot down all of those charges. There's nothing substantive, there's nothing left for them. In fact, the attorney general didn't even question my last witness, who was Harvey Risch, an emeritus professor and M.D., Ph.D., from Yale, who blew apart the part-time ER doctor's testimony that I hadn't done things correctly.*

*So, that's where we are. They don't have a case, so what they want to do instead is drag this out forever, which will do two things that are good for them: One, prevent me from being able to say I won my case and get national attention for that, because they managed to put me in the national news when they took my license;*

*No. 2, they want to cost Children's Health Defense a whole lot of money by just dragging it out, and it doesn't cost them anything to drag it out. They've got the assistant attorney generals who are already working for the state managing the case.*

*Somebody up there is pulling the strings and figured out how to make this as painful as possible for myself and CHD. Well, I want to assure them that it's not painful at all because we've had up to 180,000 people watching each hearing in real time.*

*CHD and Epoch Times have streamed every one, so everyone has been able to see what kind of kangaroo court this is, and the state of Maine has a black eye already. So let's go forward. Let's give them some more black eyes."*

## **Most Doctors Are Between a Rock and a Hard Place**

Unfortunately, threatening a doctor's medical license is an exceptionally effective way to ensure compliance, and an effective coercion to follow the rules even though they are wholly unlawful. The reason for this is simple economics. Most doctors owe hundreds of thousands of dollars in student loans, and unless they're independently wealthy, they can't afford to go into private practice.

That means they work as an employee for a hospital or big clinic, where the rules are being set by hospital administrators. In addition to that, medical education is wholly captured by Big Pharma, and has been for the last 100 years. As such, medical students are being brainwashed from Day One. On top of that, you have peer pressure.

*"We're in the middle of a war," Nass says. "It's a war about who gets control of people, and doctors just happened to be a necessary chess piece for them. By doing this to me and others, the state has been very successful at getting most doctors to keep their mouth shut and go along, and comply with what they want."*

Indeed, it takes enormous courage and commitment to patient welfare to buck a system that has all these built-in pressures. In my estimate, perhaps only 5% of the 1 million doctors in America took a stand against the COVID tyranny.

*"More than 75% of doctors are employed by somebody else, and that means they don't have a say," Nass explains. "If they're employed by a hospital, the*

*hospital bean-counters said, 'Look, everybody who comes in is getting remdesivir, that's it, if they're admitted with COVID.' And they can't fight back.*

*There was so much money involved that people who tried to fight back lost their jobs. And this was what hospitals and employers were told to do by government and so-called ethicists like Art Caplan. You fire people and then everybody else goes along. So that's what happened.*

*The other thing is ... you can't expect someone to believe something if their salary depends on their not believing it. So there's that. The peer pressure is huge, for several reasons. One is malpractice. If you don't go along with everybody else, you are liable for malpractice if your patient doesn't do well.*

*So if I give someone hydroxychloroquine for COVID and they wind up dying, I can be sued for malpractice because I wasn't following the standard of care. But if I gave them remdesivir and they die, I was following the standard of care, and I can't be sued for that.*

*These are terrible things. This means that the entire profession has been pushed – through these rules and standards – to do things wrong. And all of this was probably thought of, or even planned, long ago, so that it would be relatively easy to control all the doctors.”*

## **The Global Takeover Is Well Underway**

As noted by Nass, most of you who are paying attention will have noticed that all kinds of crazy things are now happening all at once. We were mandated to get fast-tracked “vaccines” that turned out to be both ineffective and extremely dangerous, and even though the proverbial cat is now out of the bag, government is still trying to pressure people into taking additional boosters.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has authorized vaccine manufacturers to make a third, bivalent, version of the mRNA shot, to be rolled out in the fall in combination with the flu shots.

*“Why would that be, when everyone knows that after a few weeks, [the shots] make you more susceptible to get the disease, as well as have heart attacks, strokes, blood clots, et cetera, and sudden death?” Nass asks.*

We're also facing the rollout of a central bank digital currency (CBDC) and an international digital vaccine passport. We also know that the U.S. government was funding the Wuhan Institute of Virology (WIV) to design more lethal coronaviruses. Why did they do that? To what end?

We've also seen stupendous changes within our school system. Transgender ideology now trumps everything else. We've seen a rapid growth of online schooling and the lowering of educational standards at all levels, all while using the right pronoun has become incredibly important.

We've also seen a radical shift away from true environmentalism in favor of a “green” agenda that forces the poor and middle class to lower their standard of living while the wealthy profit. The fact is, the destruction of our environment and the raping of underdeveloped countries for their natural resources was done by the same globalists that now blame all of these problems on the public.

*“What's going on now is that the ‘elites’ (global cabal) have somehow gained control of enough pieces of our culture and our education system, and certainly our mass media and government, to roll out these cultural concepts and convince people of their validity,” Nass says.*

*“The elites have decided – they've got the ability now, through surveillance, through control of media and control of governments – to take over much of the world. The simplest and most legal way for them to do that, without having to fight wars, is to take over public health, and wrap the rest of the world into public health.*

*So public health is not just between you and your doctor. Public health now involves wild animals ... They want to control the interactions of humans and wild animals.*

*They also want to control what happens with our livestock ... so, livestock have become part of health. Ecosystems have also become part of health, and so has everything else. The name for this is 'One Health.'*

*The WHO, the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) – the world organization on animal health – and the UN Environmental Program, are all pushing for these things to be part of One Health and public health.*

*This didn't happen by chance. It's a scheme ... funded by the Rockefeller Foundation around 2009. Many U.S. federal agencies are supposed to be using the One Health approach. This means that health problems have to be solved with a whole committee of people, not just doctors, not just veterinarians, but you need the ecologists, the plant pathologists, the livestock people, et cetera.*

*Everybody has to work together. But that's not enough. You also have to throw in the police. You also have to throw in governments and legislators and everyone else into this concept of One Health."*

As noted by Nass, One Health is already enshrined in U.S. law in the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA), so there's no question that U.S. agencies are all on the same track as the WHO.

## **Who's Part of the Global Cabal?**

In the interview, Nass goes on to name some of the organizations that are part of the global cabal that is reworking society for their own aims. Named players include the Rhodes organization, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Bilderberg Group, the Trilateral Commission and Chatham House, which is the equivalent of the Council on Foreign Relations in the U.K.

All these groups, and many more, are linked to each other. Former U.S. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger cofounded the Trilateral Commission and was a Rhodes scholar and member of the Council on Foreign Relations. Kissinger selected Klaus Schwab to

create the World Economic Forum (WEF) in 1971, and they've been working together ever since.

In 1993, the WEF founded a Young Global Leaders program to groom international heads of state. Today, Germany, France, Canada, Finland and other countries are led by graduates of this program.

*"It's not exactly a secret society, but Klaus Schwab and his group have managed to identify people who would go along with their program," Nass says. "I suspect these are people who are not the most intelligent, who lack imagination and are very obedient.*

*Therefore, they have been convinced that climate change is a dire emergency, and that they need to take extraordinary measures to deal with it – even if they have to reduce the population, even if they have to reduce our standard of living, even if they have to impose 15-minute cities, get rid of air travel and ... eat bugs."*

## **How the WHO Is Being Set Up as the Central Authority**

As explained by Nass, from its inception in 1948, the WHO has been an organization that transferred money from wealthier countries to poorer countries to help them with health problems like tuberculosis, AIDS and malaria.

During the COVID pandemic, the WHO and diplomats from member countries decided that a comprehensive pandemic treaty was necessary. The justification was that COVID had been mismanaged, hence we need a central decision-maker.

*"Of course, what was never said is that things were managed so poorly because most countries were following the WHO advice, which was absolutely awful," Nass says.*

If this pandemic treaty goes through, either a regional epidemic or global pandemic would authorize the WHO to step in and dictate how the matter should be addressed.

WHO members are also working on amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHRs), which would strip member nations of their sovereignty to make health-related decisions.

And, recall that “health” is being redefined to include all aspects of life, under the already existing One Health paradigm. As Nass explains:

*“What has been proposed is that either a regional director-general or the WHO director-general can simply declare a public health emergency of international concern, or the potential for a public health emergency of international concern.*

*Once they make that declaration, all these powers would then accrue to the director-general of the WHO, if it's for all countries, or if it's regional, to that regional director-general.*

*That person could then say, ‘OK, medicines in your country need to be shipped to this other country.’ Intellectual property on how to make vaccines need to go away. Let's say Abbott has a vaccine to combat whatever it is. They have to now give the recipe to Rwanda so they can make that vaccine in their own country and use it for their own people.*

*They can close borders. The WHO director-generals could basically take control of anything. If they say, ‘Oh, people are getting this from animals,’ they can stop contact with animals, stop you eating chicken or whatever, because One Health has taken jurisdiction over ecosystems.*

*The entire planet is ecosystems, and that's part of One Health. Animals and plants are also part of One Health. So, they can tell you what to eat, they can tell you where to go and where not to go. They can lock you in your home. They can put masks on you, they can mandate vaccinations – if these [IHR] amendments and the pandemic treaty are passed.*

*They're still being negotiated. The final versions are not out. But we have certainly criticized and analyzed the early versions, and they will be voted on*

*next May [2024], and could potentially go into force on a provisional basis. The treaty could go into force almost immediately.”*

## **How These Instruments Alter the WHO’s Existing Authority**

In many ways, it seems the WHO was already exercising these powers, or at least attempting to, during the COVID pandemic. So, how do these two instruments – the IHR amendments and the pandemic treaty – alter their existing authority? Nass explains:

*“There are existing international health regulations and they’ve been in existence since at least 1969 ... Although the WHO claims that part of the IHRs that exist right now are binding, they aren’t binding. So, countries followed them, but there was no legal requirement for them to do so.*

*The International Health Regulations stated very clearly that the way they were to be carried out was with ‘full respect for freedom of persons’ dignity and human rights.’ In the new version that is being negotiated, they have struck that out. There is no longer a need to respect human rights, dignity or freedom of persons.*

*And, they have specifically said that these new regulations will be binding on countries, and countries are required to have a focal point that is required to carry them out and report back to the WHO how they’ve been carried out.*

*There are additional new provisions that countries are required to perform surveillance of their populations. They want you to think this is surveillance of only bacteria or surveillance of only social media, but it’s both. So, the WHO could require people to be swabbed in your country, whether or not they’re crossing a border.*

*Say there’s an outbreak. Everybody has to line up and get swabbed to see if they’re infected with X. And animals have to be surveilled as well, because they’re looking for pathogens that have the potential to become pandemics. So that is supposed to happen.*

*Now, there's a huge problem with that, and that is, you can always find viruses that have the potential to become pandemics ... So, if you start surveilling for them, you're going to find them, which means that would allow the director-general of the WHO to declare a public health emergency anytime he or she wants.*

*The other surveillance is they require countries to surveil their social media and mainstream media, and censor anything that goes against the public health messaging of the WHO. So this is big. This is huge."*

## **Is Global Tyranny an Inevitability?**

While it may seem there's no way to derail this proverbial bullet train, Nass remains optimistic. "This is a dystopian future that actually is not good for anybody. Even the people who want it are going to find it's not good for them either," Nass says.

Now, the global cabal that is trying to seize control have access to essentially unlimited capital. But they're also using our tax dollars. As noted by Nass, the U.S. government has spent some \$5 trillion on the pandemic response.

"That's our money, not theirs," she says, "and a lot of that money, most likely, went to bribe media." Hospitals were also paid to go along with the narrative, as were celebrities, churches, medical groups and other social organizations.

*"These very wealthy people do not want to spend their own money to take over the world. They want to spend our money or put us in debt. But are these expenditures justified and legal?"*

*If we get governments of people who are responsive to normal life, we can investigate where that money went. What are these public officials doing? We can put them on trial, and we can probably even claw back a lot of this money.*

*Now, to do that might require some new laws, but if we had really good people in office – like Bobby Kennedy – we could potentially create the laws, very*

*quickly, that will allow us to try government officials and others, heads of media, et cetera, if they're doing things that are against the law."*

## **Why We Need New Laws**

The reason we need new laws is because current laws give immunity to a lot of bad actors, including the Federal Reserve, the Bank of International Settlements, everybody who works for the WHO and the UN, and federal government employees as well as many private organizations.

Vaccines and their manufacturers are also indemnified. We need to pass new laws that eliminate all these indemnifications, so that we can retroactively take them to court for the crimes they've committed.

*"This whole thing goes against the principles of the Constitution, the principles of natural law. This is a dystopian nightmare that was figured out by some very clever people in public relations and in consulting groups. We know the French government paid something like €1 billion or €2 billion to McKinsey to help manage the pandemic response.*

*So we can identify organizations that have brought these things on us and go after them. We also need to tell our members of Congress, our parliamentarians, and legislators, we don't want this dystopia. Government doesn't give us rights. We have rights. We are giving government authority. Government doesn't have authority and own us. We own the government.*

*We've been led to believe that it's the other way around, but it isn't. And we can fix all this. There are about 50 members of Congress already who have signed on as co-sponsors to HR79 [the WHO Withdrawal Act<sup>1</sup>]. We need to get out of these international organizations.*

*The UN is trying to do something similar. The WHO was simply pulled in because there was an opportunity to gain control legally through the WHO because of the way its constitution exists, because of several Supreme Court*

*cases, et cetera, there was an ability to use the WHO. The cabal may try to use other international organizations or other means to gain control.*

*But look, there's a few thousand of them. There's 8 billion of us. This is like a million to one. We can beat them. We don't have to go along with any of it. If everybody says no, if the police don't enforce, if the Army doesn't enforce, it's not going to happen. So people just need to realize what's going on."*

## **Door to Freedom**

To that end, Nass is working with a new organization called [Door to Freedom](#). Their website, which will launch shortly, will contain all the relevant WHO and UN documents, criticisms of those documents, and both long and short explanations of what's going on.

It'll be a one-stop shop where everyone can learn what the plan is and what we can do to stop it. Door to Freedom also hopes to align freedom organizations around the world to act in concert to get the word out more widely.

Personally, I'm skeptical about the likelihood of winning this battle through legislative efforts because this cabal has been working on this plan for decades, if not centuries. So, they already have everything buttoned up, or close to it. Perhaps someone like Robert F. Kennedy Jr. could get it done, but it will take a small miracle to get him into office as well.

What I do hold out hope for is that public resistance will block attempts of implementation. So, the key, I think, is to educate people. Henceforth, most of the day-to-day choices you make will take the world either closer to freedom, or closer to slavery, so it's crucial to understand where we are, where the cabal intends to take us, and how they intend to get us there.

That way, you can make decisions and take actions that will move us in the opposite direction. Door to Freedom will be able to help you understand all of that, so please bookmark [doortofreedom.org](http://doortofreedom.org), and check back regularly.

## Sources and References

---

- [1 HR 79 WHO Withdrawal Act](#)