

Something I have noticed in the discussion on the political spectrum is people fail to look past the various claims to the underlying philosophies that distinguish the differences between the “Left” and the “Right”. What is also important to remember is that often times when words are used as a label people use the definition of words when they do not apply. For instance, when one looks at the word “liberal” the Founders of the US met the basic definition of the word. But what are often called “liberals” today do not meet that definition. The site dictionary.com list the definition of “liberal” as:

-----

adjective

1. favorable to progress or reform, as in political or religious affairs.
2. (often initial capital letter) noting or pertaining to a political party advocating measures of progressive political reform.
3. of, pertaining to, based on, or advocating liberalism, especially the freedom of the individual and governmental guarantees of individual rights and liberties.
4. favorable to or in accord with concepts of maximum individual freedom possible, especially as guaranteed by law and secured by governmental protection of civil liberties.

See More

noun

14. a person of liberal principles or views, especially in politics or religion.
15. (often initial capital letter) a member of a liberal party in politics, especially of the Liberal party in Great Britain.

-----

This is also why I put words that are being used as labels in “ “ (double quotes). The meaning of the words do not apply. The words are labels that convey meanings that do not apply to the actual word. For instance, the words left and right are words that reference sides not politics.

Now look at what those on the “Left” are constantly promoting – conformance or “do what I say”. Another way to we see the attitude is “My way or the highway”. If you do not conform they attack in any way they can to degrade, insult, and create problems. Those on the “Left” expect conformance.

When one looks at those on the “Right” they find a different approach. Those on the “Right” find common ground that they can come together with everyone. Those on the “Right” may not agree with everything other people on the “Right” believe in and support, but the difference is instead of using their disagreements to fight and divide each other, they accept that not everyone will agree and they find appropriate compromises that can benefit each other.

One pattern I have notice is that those on the “Left” are about control. And most people do not like it when others want to rule them. People often resist in various way when people try to rule them. The result is one also finds the “Left” use a “Two Step Forward, One Step Back” routine when people start objecting to what they are doing. We can see this process by looking at what has happened in the US over the last 100 years or so.

When one looks at the objectives of the “Left” one also finds that level of honestly or forthrightness of the groups vary. For instance, when one looks at the objectives of the “Left” in the US one finds most of the “Left” groups obscure their objectives using nice sounding phrases. But other “Left” groups

such as the Nationalsozialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei (The National Socialist German Workers' Party) and Communist are generally more forthright about their objectives and ideology. The problem is people when people start comparing the objectives of the groups one finds they are the same. As a result, many groups of the "Left" start claiming other groups on the "Left" are part of the "Right" to try and avoid people noticing that the objectives are the same. This is a reason one must always look past the labels to the underlying philosophy and agenda.

Contrast this with those on the "Right", the real "Right". The "Right" will always try to find common ground. This is the fundamental nature of the "Right" or it can be described like this "If I am not causing you problems I should be able to do what I want.". The "Right" looks to find common ground so everyone can prosper. The very foundation of the US is based upon people finding common ground so that everyone can prosper.

Now, someone invariable would bring up slavery and attack the US Founders. Consider two delegates stopped the elimination of slavery in US Constitution. The compromise was Article 1 Section 9 Paragraph/Section 1 which started the phasing out of the slave trade. And when one learns history one finds it was slowly disappearing from the US long before the US Civil War. Keep in mind that slavery in what became the US was seeded by a man sold as a slave in Africa but treated as an indentured servant in the US. He went to court in Virginia to keep a man from Africa as slave. Look at how slavery and claims of racism, and "I/We are a victim of this or that" is used to divide people.

What I have found in looking at the core differences between the "Left" and "Right" in relation to how government is expected to operate is the "Left" promotes:

"Supremacy of the State and Supremacy of those in control of the State"

Look at how governments which are referenced as Socialist, Fascist, Communist, NAZI, etc. all operate. Those in control dictate everything and the people not in control are expected to obey unconditionally. This same philosophy can be seen in every group that is part of the "Left" as well.

Then look at what the "Right" promotes:

"Supremacy of the People and the State serves the People"

One finds that Democracies, Republics, and most Monarchies operated like this. This also applies to groups that are on the "Right". One thing that seems to also happen is groups on the "Right" tend to focus on limited items, while "Left" groups do their best to control everything.

The key thing people need to always do is to listen and observe if they want to maintain the freedoms and ability to chose. And people need to be careful of being minions. When one looks at what has happened to minions over the years is those who get control then exterminate the minions because the minions discover they have been lied to.